B.R.R. No 528 of 1936 G 10 (6)

G 10(6)

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR AND ORISSA

DURING THE YEAR

1935.

(Not to be sent up to Simla.)

PUNJAB CIVIL SECRETARIAT
LIBRARY COPY.

(To be returned to the Library when done with.)

15153

G-10(6)
1936
15153

PATNA:
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, BIHAR.
1936.

[*Price—Rs. 1-14-0*]

12123

B.R.R. No 528 of 1936

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR AND ORISSA

DURING THE YEAR

1935.

PATNA:
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, BIHAR.
1936.

Priced Publications of the Government of Bihar can be had from—

IN INDIA.

The Superintendent, Government Printing, Bihar, Gulzarbagh, P. O.

(1) Messrs. Thacker Spink & Co., Calcutta.

(2) Messrs. W. Newman & Co., Calcutta.

(3) Messrs. S. K. Lahiri & Co., College Street, Calcutta.

(4) Messrs. R. Cambray & Co., 6 and 8-2, Hastings Street, Calcutta.

(5) Messrs. Thompson & Co., Madras.

(6) Messrs. D. B. Taraporevala Sons & Co., 103, Meadow Street, Fort, Post Box no. 18, Bombay.

(7) Messrs. M. C. Sirkar & Sons, 75, Harrison Road, Calcutta.

(8) Proprietor of the Newal Kishore Press, Lucknow.

(9) Messrs. M. N. Burman & Co., Bankipore.

(10) Babu Ram Dayal Agarwala, 184, Katra Road, Allahabad.

(11) The Standard Literature Co., Ltd., 13-1, Old Court House Street, Calcutta.

(12) Manager of the Indian School Supply Depôt, 309, Bow Bazar Street, Calcutta.

(13) Messrs. Butterworth & Co., Ltd., 6, Hastings Street, Calcutta.

(14) Messrs. Ram Krishna & Sons, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

(15) The Oxford Book and Stationery Company, Delhi.

(16) Messrs. Das Brothers, Nouzerkatra, Patna City.

(17) The Book Company, 4/4(*a*), College Square, Calcutta.

(18) Mr. K. L. Mathur, Guzri, Patna City.

(19) Messrs. Raghunath Prasad & Sons, Patna City.

(20) The Grantha Mandir, Cuttack.

(21) Babu Madan Mohan Singh, Proprietor, Messrs. R. P. Sinha & Brothers, Guzri, Patna City.

(22) Messrs. Sampson William & Co., 127-B, The Mall, Cawnpur.

(23) The New Book Company, Publishers and Booksellers, 192 Hornby Road, Bombay.

(24) Proprietor, Sareswati Pustakalaya, Booksellers and Stationers, Daltonganj, (Palamau).

(25) Messrs. R. S. Tomara & Sons, Publishers and Booksellers, Opposite Fort Gate, Delhi.

IN EUROPE AND AMERICA.

The Office of the High Commissioner for India, Public Department. India House, Aldwych, London, W. C. 2.

[Maximum limit of pages—25

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR AND ORISSA

DURING THE YEAR

1935.

During the year under report the following changes took place in the personnel of the High Court :—

(1) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice C. M. Agarwala was on leave from the 23rd of April to the 2nd of May, 1935. No substitute was appointed.

(2) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice A. W. E. Wort was on leave from the 15th of July to the 2nd of October, 1935. The Hon'ble Mr. Justice J. A. Saunders, I.C.S., acted in his place.

(3) The Hon'ble Sir Stewart Macpherson, Kt., C.I.E., I.C.S., was on leave for 27 days from the 15th of July, 1935. No substitute was appointed in his place.

(4) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice J. F. W. James, I.C.S., was on leave from the 15th of July, 1935, to the 2nd of October, 1935, and the Hon'ble Mr. Justice T. Luby, I.C.S., acted in his place.

(5) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Saiyid Fazl Ali was on leave from the 14th of October to the 7th of December, 1935. The Hon'ble Mr. Justice J. A. Saunders, I.C.S., acted in his place.

A temporary appointment of Additional Judge, sanctioned with effect from the 26th October, 1934, was extended up to the

end of the vacation of 1936. A similar appointment was sanctioned from the 8th December, 1935, to the beginning of the vacation of 1936. The former appointment was held by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice F. G. Rowland, I.C.S., and the latter by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice J. A. Saunders, I.C.S.

2. The permanent civil judicial staff subordinate to the High Court consisted of 16 District Judges, 28 Subordinate Judges and 96 Munsifs. During the year under report, four Munsifs on probation were confirmed and four new probationers were appointed. The services of the three peripatetic Additional District and Sessions Judges were utilized in the following manner :—

One was posted to Patna from the 1st of January to the 11th of May, from the 13th of May to the 25th of May, from the 14th of June to the 13th of July and from the 15th of July to the end of the year.

One was posted to Muzaffarpur from the 1st of January to the 2nd of October and from the 31st of October to the end of the year.

One was posted to Shahabad from the 10th of January to the end of the year.

During the year under report an addition was made to the cadre of the Indian Civil Service to provide for four peripatetic Additional District and Sessions Judges instead of three. The post was created with effect from the 1st November, 1935 and from then until the end of the year the incumbent of the post was employed at Darbhanga.

The following temporary appointments were also made :—

(1) An Additional District and Sessions Judge was employed at Patna from the beginning of the year to the 12th of April.

(2) An Additional District and Sessions Judge was employed in Saran from the 23rd of April to the 22nd of July.

(3) An Additional Subordinate Judge was employed at Patna throughout the year.

(4) An Additional Subordinate Judge was employed at Gaya from the beginning of the year to the 18th of April.

(5) An Additional Subordinate Judge was employed at Muzaffarpur from the 24th of December to the end of the year.

(6) The terms of the two temporary appointments of Munsif for the office of Registrar of the civil courts at Patna and Gaya were again extended for one year.

As in previous years, the Assistant Registrar of the Cuttack Circuit Court was throughout the year also an Additional Munsif of Cuttack.

3. The number of original suits instituted in the province was 248,244, an increase of 22,912 on the number instituted in 1934.

There was an increase in litigation in all the districts. The increase was most marked in Gaya (5,635), Saran (4,796), Patna (3,151), Shahabad (2,162) and Purnea (2,154).

Of the 248,244 suits instituted, 52,044 were for money or movable property; 180,001 were rent-suits, and 16,199 were title and other miscellaneous suits, a decrease of 1·4 per cent, and an increase of 14·4 and 6·4 per cent, respectively, in comparison with the figures of the previous year. Among the suits instituted in the previous year was one of exceptionally large value viz :—Rs. 1,29,59,020 for the partition of the Banaili Raj. This explains why, in spite of there being an increase in the number of suits filed there was a decrease in their total valuation.

The figures for the last five years are as follows :—

—	Money-suits.	Rent-suits.	Title-suits.	Total number.	Total value.
1	2	3	4	5	6
					Rs.
1931	53,196	122,116	16,588	191,900	4,42,32,266
1932	54,659	121,926	15,275	191,860	6,19,57,896
1933	54,363	149,036	15,386	218,785	4,90,78,980
1934	52,789	157,321	15,222	225,332	5,74,79,413
1935	52,044	180,001	16,199	248,244	4,94,31,597

There is an increase in the number of title-suits and decrease in the number of money-suits. On the other hand, the number

of rent-suits has greatly increased, and it is clear that the effects of the economic depression on the institution of suits are still serious.

4. The following table shows the number of suits instituted in each district :—

(1) Muzaffarpur	34,203
(2) Saran	30,565
(3) Darbhanga	27,975
(4) Patna	23,358
(5) Shahabad	22,449
(6) Bhagalpur	22,007
(7) Purnea	21,898
(8) Monghyr	20,007
(9) Gaya	17,397
(10) Cuttack	14,592
(11) Manbhum-Sambalpur	8,943
(12) Chota Nagpur	4,850

Six districts occupy the same position in the table as in 1934. Patna has risen two places and Saran and Gaya one place each, the districts which occupy correspondingly lower positions being Bhagalpur and Darbhanga and Cuttack. In Cuttack and Chota Nagpur and in the major portion of Manbhum-Sambalpur rent-suits are not instituted in the civil courts and do not figure in the above table. Out of the 34,203 suits instituted in Muzaffarpur 27,134 were rent-suits. As in previous years the largest number both of money-suits (11,328) and of title-suits (3,264) was instituted in Cuttack.

The number of money-suits rose in six districts and fell in six. The largest increase was in Chota Nagpur (339) and the largest decrease was in Muzaffarpur (424).

The number of suits under the Bengal Tenancy Act rose in all the districts in which the Act is in force and was most marked in Gaya (5,782), Saran (4,479), Patna (3,073), Shahabad (2,261) and Purnea (2,043). The increase was due to the provision in the new Bihar Tenancy Act of a shorter period of limitation for suits for *bhaoli* rent and to the continuance of the economic depression which makes it difficult for many tenants to pay money rents punctually or in full.

The number of title-suits rose in ten districts and fell in two. The largest increases were in Shahabad (219) and Muzaffarpur (206). The largest decrease occurred in Cuttack (140).

5. *Nature of suits instituted.*—The following table shows that, as in previous years, the majority of rent-suits were of a value not exceeding fifty rupees, while the majority of title-suits and of suits for money or movable property were of a value exceeding that amount :—

—		Suits for money and movable property.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.
1		2	3	4
	Rs.			
Not exceeding	10 ..	1,953	43,632	692
	50 ..	14,540	82,374	3,065
	100 ..	12,427	28,248	2,150
	500 ..	19,279	23,488	5,732
Exceeding Rs. 500, or value not stated.		3,845	2,259	4,560
Total ..		52,044	180,001	16,199

Title-suits represented in value 54 per cent of the whole litigation of the province. Of the total number classed as title-suits, 43·7 per cent were for immovable property; 38·4 per cent were mortgage suits; 1·8 per cent were for specific relief; and the remaining 16·1 per cent were for reliefs of other kinds.

6. *Number of suits instituted and disposed of.*—The number of suits instituted (248,244) during the year, added to the number pending from the previous year (134,231) and to the number revived or otherwise received during the year (23,976) gives a total of 406,451 suits for disposal. The number of suits disposed of was 253,359. The number of suits for disposal increased by 46,372 and the number disposed of by 48,532 as compared with the year 1934. The average disposal of suits in the courts of Subordinate Judges decreased from 269·8 in 1934 to 264·5 in 1935, and that of suits in the courts of Munsifs inreased from 2,411·5 to 2,919·6.

The following table shows the disposal of contested and uncontested suits by Subordinate Judges and Munsifs during the year 1934 and 1935 calculated according to the method described in the report for 1930 :—

—		Contested.			Uncontested.				Average disposal.			Percentage of contested disposal to total decided.	
		Under ordinary procedure.	Under small cause court powers.	Total.	Under ordinary procedure.	Under small cause court powers.	Total.	Total of columns 4 and 7.	Contested.	Uncontested.	Total.	Under ordinary procedure.	Under small cause court powers.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
By Subordinate Judges.	1934	965	715	1,680	929	3,238	4,167	5,847	77·5	192·3	269·8	50·9	15·1
	1935	876	631	1,507	910	3,137	4,047	5,554	71·8	192·7	264·5	49	16·7
By Munsifs.	1934	20,837	3,270	24,107	145,170	29,670	174,840	195,947	292·2	2,119·3	2,411·5	12·5	9·9
	1935	19,944	3,091	23,035	193,942	30,790	224,733	247,767	274·2	2,675·4	2,949·6	9·3	9·1

As compared with the previous year the number of suits tried increased in all the districts. The largest increases were in Bhagalpur (8,573), Muzaffarpur (8,211), Shahabad (6,277) and Patna (5,840). The increase was mainly in uncontested rent-suits disposed of by the Munsifs.

Of the total number of suits decided, 247,767 (of which less than one-seventh fell within the small cause court procedure) were disposed of by Munsifs, 5,554 by Subordinate Judges and 38 by District and Additional District Judges.

The number of suits disposed of under the small cause court procedure by Subordinate Judges was 185 less than in 1934. The number of such suits disposed of by Munsifs was, however, 941 more.

7. *Results of suits.*—The following table shows the proportions of contested and uncontested suits to the total number of suits decided. It also shows the number of cases in which the plaintiffs were successful in whole or in part, and of cases in which the plaintiffs were unsuccessful. The variations from the figures of the previous year are not sufficient to call for special comment.

—	After full trial.				Uncontested.					Plaintiffs wholly or partly successful.	Plaintiffs unsuccessful.	Re-trial.		
	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	On reference to arbitration.	Total.	Exparte.	Compromised.	On admission of claim.	Otherwise (dismissed for default, etc., withdrawn and rejected).	Total.			Applications granted.	Applications rejected.	Percentage of successful applications.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Number of suits (1935) ...	20,393	3,895	271	24,559	162,945	20,859	3,389	41,607	228,800	186,727	45,502	775	3,530	18
Percentage with reference to total number of cases disposed of (253,359) in 1935.	8·1	1·5	·1	9·7	64·3	8·2	1·3	16·4	90·2	73·7	18	...	...	...
Number of suits (1934) ...	21,982	3,608	210	25,800	121,663	19,535	3,145	34,684	179,027	146,790	38,292	647	3,458	15·8
Percentage with reference to total number of cases disposed of (204,827) in 1934.	10·7	1·8	·1	12·6	59·4	9·5	1·6	16·9	87·4	71·7	18·7	...	...	...

Of the 24,559 suits decided after contest, 271 or 1·1 per cent were decided on reference to arbitration. Of the remaining 98·9 per cent 83 per cent or 20,393 suits ended in favour of the plaintiff and 15·9 per cent or 3,895 suits in favour of the defendant. As in previous years, the number of suits compromised was highest in Cuttack (3,923) and these consisted mainly of petty suits brought by money-lenders.

8. *Applications for retrial.*—The number of applications for re-trial was 4,447 or 2·5 per cent of the total number of cases dismissed for default or decided *ex-parte*. Of the total number of applications disposed of (4,305), 18 per cent were successful as compared with 16, 16·8 and 15·8 per cent, respectively, in the three preceding years. The percentage of applications granted was highest in Cuttack (28·5) and Chota Nagpur (24·7). In the judgeship of Cuttack the largest proportion of successful applications was in the courts of the Additional Subordinate Judge of Cuttack and the first Munsif of Puri.

9. The following table gives the average duration of contested and uncontested suits disposed of by the various grades of courts :—

		District Judges.		Subordinate Judges.		Munsifs.	
		1935	1934	1935	1934	1935	1934
		Days.		Days.		Days.	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
Under ordinary procedure	Contested ...	456·5	663·1	421·3	437·9	235·1	238·4
	Uncontested ...	430·1	317·4	289·2	285·5	119·7	129·9
Under small cause court procedure.	Contested ...	...	...	147·1	142·3	118·2	133·2
	Uncontested ...	...	...	84	89·8	70·3	71·9

There was a marked fall in the average duration of suits decided on contest in the courts of the District Judges. The duration of contested suits under the ordinary procedure in the courts of the Subordinate Judges was above the average for the province in seven districts, the highest figures being 591, 572 and 523 days in the districts of Purnea, Darbhanga and Shahabad respectively. The increase is said to be due to the fact that a considerable number of long pending suits were finally disposed of on contest during the year.

In the Munsifs' courts the average duration of suits decided on contest under the ordinary procedure was slightly lower than in the preceding year. The duration was above the average for the province in six districts, the highest figures being in the districts of Darbhanga (276) and Patna (270).

As in the report for 1934, the average duration of suits has been computed in the manner adopted in the reports of previous years and not in the manner prescribed in letter no. F.-129-29—Judl., dated the 1st August, 1930, of the Government of India, and adopted in the imperial statements appended to this report, where transfer is regarded as a method of disposal. The Court reiterate their opinion that statistics which show merely how long a suit or appeal has been pending in a particular court before disposal and ignore the fact that it may have been pending in other courts before it was received by the court which ultimately decided it, cannot but convey a misleading impression. This is particularly the case where, as frequently happens when a temporary additional officer is posted, large numbers of suits or appeals already ripe for hearing are transferred. If the method favoured by the Government of India be adopted the figures for the average duration of suits decided on contest by Subordinate Judges and Munsifs will be 304·2 and 194·5 days respectively instead of 421·3 and 235·1. Similarly, in paragraph 6 the disposals shown do not include disposals by transfer. To include them would involve showing many disposals where the suits in question are actually still pending, and would also result in showing the same suit, where it has been transferred to more than one court as disposed of several times over.

10. The number of suits pending at the close of the year fell from 134,228 to 130, 322 or by 2·9 per cent; and the number of suits pending for over one year from the date of institution rose from 1,715 to 1,812, an increase of 5·6 per cent. The reason why this figure (1,812) differs from the figure of 1,459 for year old suits shown in imperial statement no. 5 is that in the latter transferred suits are shown as pending only from the date of transfer, in accordance with the instructions of the Government of India already referred to. The Court consider the latter figure misleading. Of the year old suits 1,399 were pending in the courts of Munsifs and 413 in the courts of District Judges and Subordinate Judges. The numbers were largest in the districts of Patna (492), Monghyr (442), Darbhanga (172) and Muzaffarpur (145). The accumulation is as usual chiefly in the Munsifs' courts. In the courts of the Subordinate Judges the accumulation is largest in the districts of Patna (52), Gaya (52) and Darbhanga (43).

The following tables show separately the numbers of pending suits classified according to the years of institution in the superior courts and in the Munsifs' courts in each judgeship:—

Superior Courts.

In the judgeship of—	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	1930.	1931.	1932.	1933.	1934.	1935.	Over 10 years.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Patna	...	...	...	..	1	1	3	5	2	6	34	204	...	256
Gaya	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4	10	35	164	1	216
Shahabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4	31	107	...	144
Saran	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	30	164	...	196
Muzaffarpur ...	...	...	..	...	1	...	...	...	...	10	30	217	...	258
Darbhanga ...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	1	2	9	29	112	...	155
Bhagalpur ...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	3	3	25	137	...	169
Monghyr ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	1	2	8	96	...	109
Purnea	...	..	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	4	12	114	...	132
Cuttack	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	1	2	2	16	125	...	146
Chota Nagpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	6	21	114	...	145
Manbhum-Sambalpur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	7	33	385	...	426
Total ...	...	...	...	...	6	1	6	12	20	63	304	1,939	1	2,352

Munsifs' Courts.

In the judgeship of—	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	1930.	1931.	1932.	1933.	1934.	1935.	Over 10 years.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Patna ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	5	15	417	16,039	...	16,479
Gaya ...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	20	41	11,260	...	11,323
Shahabad ...	...	...	..	...	...	1	1	1	1	9	55	9,724	...	9,792
Saran ...	..	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	2	55	17,018	...	17,078
Muzaffarpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	98	19,660	...	19,764
Darbhanga ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	17	7	104	18,113	...	18.242
Bhagalpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	1	14	10,839	...	10,858
Monghyr ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	2	14	409	12,543	...	12,972
Purnea ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	...	34	4,490	...	4,528
Cuttack ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	3	14	3,678	...	3,696
Chota Nagpur	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	9	1,299	...	1,309
Manbhum-Sambalpur.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	19	1,908	...	1,929
Total ...	...	...	...	...	...	2	5	9	34	80	1,269	126,571	...	127,970

The delay in the disposal of the long pending suits is, as usual, attributed chiefly to one or more of the following causes: orders of stay passed by higher courts; pendency of appeals in the High Court and other appellate courts in connected cases; death of parties and consequent substitution of their heirs or representatives-in-interest; revival and restoration of suits or their transfer from one court to another; appointment of commissioners and delay in the receipt of their reports; appointment of arbitrators and delay in the receipt of their award; remand of cases by superior courts; and adjournments necessitated by pressure of work or allowed at the instance of the parties.

11. There were 56,599 applications for the execution of decrees pending from the previous year and 151,433 applications were made during the year. Full satisfaction was obtained in 92,384 and partial satisfaction in 13,607 cases; in 39,137 cases the proceedings were returned as wholly infructuous, while 61,151 remained pending at the close of the year. The total percentage of infructuous cases was 27 as against 27·9 in 1934. As in previous years, the proportion of infructuous proceedings was highest in Cuttack (59·2), Chota Nagpur (51) and Manbhum-Sambalpur (50·4), the variation from the figures for 1934 being in each case slight. In each of the three judgeships the high percentage is attributed partly to a number of applications having been made merely in order to keep the decrees alive and partly to the omission of the decree-holders or judgment-debtors to take steps to have adjustments made out of court certified. The Judicial Commissioner of Chota Nagpur adds that a further cause in his judgeship is the statutory prohibition on the alienation of raiyati holdings.

The total amount realized in courts, other than small cause courts, was Rs. 2,34,68,192. The coercive measures employed were, in 532 cases, the arrest of the judgment-debtor, in 657 and 45,847 cases respectively, the sale of movable and immovable property, and in 40,634 cases the attachment of property followed by its release. Possession of immovable property was given in 1,825 cases, of which the largest number (372) was in the district of Manbhum-Sambalpur.

12. Of cases of a judicial nature other than suits and execution proceedings, 34,206 were instituted, 33,730 were disposed of and 10,454 remained pending. Of the cases disposed of, 5,419 were compromised or decreed on confession, 6,050 were decided *ex-parte* and 9,227 were contested. Of the total number of applications disposed of after contest, 44·9 per cent

were granted. Of the pending cases only 61 were more than a year old.

In respect of miscellaneous cases of a non-judicial nature institutions and disposals numbered 1,204 and 1,202, respectively. The number of applications for deposit of rent was highest in Muzaffarpur (48) and Shahabad (48).

APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

I.—Appeals in the High Court.

13. The first of the following tables gives the number of appeals and miscellaneous cases instituted and disposed of in the High Court during the year; the second shows the comparative institutions of appeals during the past five years. A decrease of 477 is shown in the number of appeals but the number of miscellaneous cases pending at the end of the year remained the same as in 1934. The number of appeals tried was 2,310 as against 2,990 in 1933 and 2,104 in 1934. The number of miscellaneous cases tried was 998 as compared with 1,036 in 1933 and 880 in 1934. The pending figures at the close of 1933 were 3,798 appeals and 256 miscellaneous cases:—

	———	Pending at the close of 1934.	Preferred.	Decided.	Pending at the end of 1935.
	1	2	3	4	5
APPEALS.	FIRST APPEALS—				
	From decrees ..	624	221	218	627
	From orders ..	200	255	291	164
	SECOND APPEALS—				
	From decrees ..	2,544	1,129	1,632	2,041
	From orders ..	65	141	141	65
	Letters Patent appeals ..	18	86	27	77
	Appeals from decisions of a single Judge of the High Court in exercise of original jurisdiction.	4	1	1	4

		Pending at the close of 1934.	Preferred.	Decided.	Pending at the end of 1935.
	1	2	3	4	5
MISCELLANEOUS CASES.	Civil review ..	9	41	38	12
	Civil revision ..	240	818	823	235
	Civil reference ..	5	4	6	3
	Reference under section 27 of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923.	..	..	..	..
	Miscellaneous judicial cases.	51	98	103	46
	Applications for leave to appeal to His Majesty in Council.	25	37	28	34
	Total ..	3,785	2,831	3,308	3,308

High Court Appeals Instituted.

	First appeals.		Second appeals.	
	From decrees.	From orders.	From decrees.	From orders.
1	2	3	4	5
1931	204	265	1,837	72
1932	230	269	1,914	72
1933	209	286	1,587	72
1934	192	249	1,189	116
1935	221	255	1,129	141

In addition to the appeals and miscellaneous cases shown in the first table above, one original case which had been pending at the end of 1934 was still pending at the end of 1935. The increase in disposals was most marked in civil revisions (104), in appeals from appellate decrees (91) and in appeals from decrees (55). The decrease (503) in the number of second appeals pending at the close of the year is satisfactory. In spite, however, of a marked increase in the number of first appeals disposed of there were still slightly more first appeals pending at the end of 1935 than at the end of 1934.

Fuller particulars of the civil revision cases disposed of in the High Court during the year are given in the table below :—

—	Summarily rejected.	Disposed of after admission.					
		Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Total of columns 2 to 7.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Civil revisions under the Small Cause Courts Act.	127	11	57	2	16	10	223
Other civil revisions	300	44	159	2	84	11	600

Of the 218 appeals from original decrees decided during the year, 96 were uncontested, 31 of them being compromised, and the remainder dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted. Of 122 decided after contest, in 66 cases or 54·1 per cent the decrees of the lower court were confirmed, in 26 or 21·3 per cent reversed and in 30 or 24·6 per cent modified.

Of the 1,632 appeals from appellate decrees of District Judges and Subordinate Judges which were decided, 474, which was approximately 41·1 per cent of the total number heard for admission under Order 41, rule 11 of the Civil Procedure Code, were summarily dismissed. 16 were dismissed, and 60 decreed, on compromise, 51 were withdrawn and 47 were dismissed for default in prosecution. Out of the appeals actually heard the decisions in 646 or 65·6 per cent of the total number of cases were confirmed, in 218 or 22·1 per cent they were reversed, in 70 or 7·1 per cent they were modified, while 50 appeals or 5·1 per cent were remanded.

Of the 432 appeals from orders decided, 141 which was approximately 36·1 per cent of those heard for admission, were summarily dismissed; 92 were disposed of without contest,

46 being dismissed for default, 24 withdrawn and 18 decreed, and 4 dismissed, on compromise. Of the 199 appeals contested, the orders were confirmed in 125, were reversed in 50 and were varied in 8 cases. 16 appeals were remanded. Thus, of those decided after admission, 199 or 68·4 per cent were infructuous.

II.—Appellate Courts subordinate to the High Court.

14. Appeals instituted numbered 5,497, being 301 more than in 1934, an increase of 5·8 per cent. The largest increases were in Muzaffarpur (116) and Chota Nagpur (92). In Chota Nagpur the increase was chiefly in title appeals but in Muzaffarpur the increase was as marked in money as in title appeals. The largest decrease was in Saran (177) and is attributed to fewer suits having been disposed of on contest.

Appeals were preferred in 27·3 per cent of appealable cases or 2·6 per cent more than last year. The highest percentages were in Cuttack (41·5), Chota Nagpur (38·8) and Monghyr (30·7) and the lowest in Purnea (20 5), Muzaffarpur (20·5) and Bhagalpur (20·8).

Appeals disposed of numbered 5,754, 67 more than in 1934, of which 2,917 or 50·7 per cent were decided by District and Additional District Judges and 2,837 or 49·3 per cent by Subordinate Judges. The position was thus very much the same as in 1934 when the corresponding percentages were 50·3 and 49·7. As in previous years, the proportion of the civil appellate work done by the District and Additional District Judges was much smaller in some judgeships than in others. In Manbhum-Sambalpur the Subordinate Judges decided 71·6 per cent of the appeals, in Gaya 70·5 per cent and in Cuttack 65·1 per cent. The District Judge of Manbhum-Sambalpur devoted only 70 days to civil work, the District Judge of Gaya 57 days and the District Judge of Cuttack 61 days. Fluctuations in the figures showing diposal of appeals occurred chiefly in Patna (+348), Monghyr (+262), Bhagalpur (−223) and Darbhanga (−204). In Patna the District Judge and the Additional District Judges were able to devote 139 days and 64 days respectively to civil work as against 33 and 22 days respectively in the previous year. In Monghyr the increase is attributed to the fact that there was no such dislocation of work during the year under report as there was for some time in the previous year after the earthquake. In Bhagalpur the decrease is attributed partly to the pressure of sessions work and partly to the fact that during the year under report an Additional Subordinate Judge was employed in the judgeship for 75 days only as against 211 days in 1934. In Darbhanga the District Judge and the Additional District Judge

were able to devote only 81 and 7 days to civil work as against 163 and 44 days respectively in 1934. The proportion of appeals decided by District and Additional District Judges has varied by less than one per cent in each of the last three years and has throughout this period been almost exactly one half. In 1932 it was considerably less, namely 42·8 per cent. These figures indicate that if the greater part of the civil appellate work is to be done by the District and Additional District Judges the cadre must be strengthened. Three new posts of District and Sessions Judge have been created recently but as, at the same time, the number of Assistant Sessions Judges has been reduced by four the Court are apprehensive that District and Additional District Judges may still be unable to devote as much time as it is desirable they should to civil work.

At the close of the year 4,039 appeals remained pending, this being 164 less than at the end of 1934. The number of year old appeals decreased from 370 to 208 or by 44 per cent. The largest number so pending was in Darbhanga (99).

The Hon'ble Judges do not desire to repeat the remarks made by them in paragraph 9 with regard to the imperial statements. They are, however, equally applicable to the figures for appeals.

15. *Results of appeals.*—In 3,148 cases or 54·7 per cent of the whole, the judgment of the lower court was affirmed; in 888 cases or 15·4 per cent it was modified; and in 837 or 14·6 per cent it was reversed; 163 appeals or 2·8 per cent were remanded, and 718 or 12·5 per cent were either dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted. Thus there was interference in appeal in approximately one case in three.

16. *Miscellaneous appeals.*—The number of appeals of this class instituted was 1,940; 2,203 were disposed of and 494 remained pending at the close of the year.

17. *Courts subordinate to the High Court in the district of the Santal Parganas.*—The Courts established under the Bengal, Agra and Assam Civil Courts Act, 1887 in the district of the Santal Parganas are the Court of the District Judge and the courts of the Subordinate Judges. The Deputy Commissioner is the District Judge and the Subdivisional Officers at Dumka, Deoghar, Jamtara, Pakaur, Godda and Rajmahal exercise the functions of a Subordinate Judge under section 36(1) of the Act. During the year under report a second Subordinate Judge was stationed at Deoghar to relieve the Subdivisional Officer of a portion of his civil workand enable him to devote more time

to his administrative duties. During the year 113 suits were instituted in the district, of which 54 were money suits; 26 were for rent; and 33 were title and other suits. Including 140 suits pending at the close of 1934 and six suits revived the total number of suits for disposal was 259. Of these, 51 were decided on contest and 110 without contest, two suits were transferred to other courts and 96 suits remained pending at the end of the year. The total value of the suits instituted in the district was Rs. 5,73,526.

Fifty-two miscellaneous judicial cases were before the courts for disposal and of these, 28 were decided on contest and six without contest, 18 being pending at the close of the year.

There were in all sixteen appeals before the District Judge against the decisions of the Subordinate Judges in the district. Eight were disposed of and eight remained pending at the close of the year. The judgment was confirmed in four cases, modified in one and reversed in one. One suit was remanded and one appeal was dismissed for default in prosecution.

GENERAL.

18. *Receipts and charges.*—The receipts of the civil courts in Bihar and Orissa for the year amounted to Rs. 63,03,327 and charges to Rs. 20,52,194, an increase of Rs. 4,52,492 in receipts and of Rs. 80,248 in charges as compared with 1934. Including Rs. 90,673 realized on account of duty on probates, etc., there was a profit to Government from civil litigation of Rs. 43,41,806. The large increase in receipts was chiefly under the head "other fees". The details of receipts and charges are as follows :—

Receipts.		Rs.	Charges.		Rs.
In stamps	Process fees	... 13,48,523	Salaries of judicial officers		... 8,33,502
	Other fees	... 45,71,552	Establishment.	Process-servers	... 2,20,968
In cash or special stamps.	Fines	... 885		Others	... 6,92,661
	Copying and comparing fees.	2,56,317	Copyists' fees		... 1,77,267
	Other receipts	... 1,26,050	Contingencies and refund		... 1,24,796
Total		... 63,03,327	Total		... 20,52,194

The above figures do not include receipts and charges in respect of the civil courts subordinate to the High Court in the district of the Santal Parganas.

19. *Stamps.*—The practice of using several stamps of lower denominations instead of the requisite stamp of higher value is still prevalent in some districts. The peripatetic stamp reporters are drawing attention to this irregularity wherever it is discovered.

The amount realized as deficit court-fees on the reports of the stamp reporter in the High Court was Rs. 19,385 against Rs. 25,723 in 1934 and Rs. 33,896 in 1933. The reported deficits which were not realized as the parties allowed their appeals to be dismissed sooner than pay, amounted to Rs. 16,940 against Rs. 8,626 in 1934 and Rs. 5,618 in 1933.

A total sum of Rs. 772 was realized as deficit court-fees in the Circuit Court at Cuttack during the year under report.

The two temporary peripatetic stamp reporters appointed to check the realizations of court-fees in the subordinate civil courts visited the judgeships of Muzaffarpur, Patna, Cuttack, Saran, Shahabad, Monghyr and Bhagalpur during the year. They reported deficiencies in the payment of court-fees to the extent of Rs. 25,193 and their reports in respect of Rs. 18,093 were accepted by the courts. The total amount realized from the parties during the year was Rs. 8,449.

20. *Commissions and Commissioners.*—The new rules regarding the issue of commissions requiring a knowledge of surveying are reported to be working satisfactorily. Under these rules commissions are to be issued only to pleaders who hold a certificate of proficiency in surveying or to pleaders who had, for a period of not less than 10 years prior to the date on which the rules came into force, been executing such commissions satisfactorily. In cases in which an expert knowledge of surveying may be more important than a knowledge of law commissions may, under the rules, be issued to persons who have obtained the degree of Bachelor of Civil Engineering or have passed one or other of certain prescribed examinations. During the year under report 132 out of 1,206 commissions or 11 per cent were executed by such persons. 712 or 59 per cent were executed by pleaders holding certificates of proficiency in surveying and 362 or 30 per cent by other pleaders. The total amount of fees paid was Rs. 43,524 of which Rs. 27,514 went to the former and Rs. 11,180 to the latter class of pleaders. The

amount paid to other persons was Rs. 4,830. The number of commissions of other kinds issued was 949 and the total amount of fees paid in respect of them was Rs. 21,141.

21. *Estates under the fiduciary management of civil courts.*—The accounts of estates under the fiduciary control of the civil courts the annual income of which exceeds Rs. 4,000, continued to be audited by the Local Audit Department.

Thirty-five audit reports were received during the year. Audit notes are now being dealt with in accordance with rules recently framed which require the courts concerned to pass final orders on the audit notes after the Local Audit Department has had an opportunity of commenting on the explanation of the Guardian, Manager or Receiver, as the case may be, on the defects to which attention has been drawn. The system continues to work satisfactorily and the regular audit of these estates has thereby gained in effectiveness and utility.

22. *Cases reported under section 58(4) of the Bengal Tenancy Act, 1885.*—Seven cases were reported to the Collector during the year. In all these cases the offending landlords were fined.

23. *Buildings.*—A certain amount of progress was made during the year under report with the construction of buildings to replace those which were destroyed in the earthquake. The new civil court buildings at Muzaffarpur were completed and were made over to the District Judge by the Public Works Department on the 24th December 1935. New civil court buildings estimated to cost respectively Rs. 2,35,256 and Rs. 1,02,325 were sanctioned for Purnea and Laheriasarai. Work was also commenced on the building which is to replace the civil courts at Motihari. This building is estimated to cost Rs. 1,62,500 and is to be constructed not at Motihari itself but at Lautaha three miles away. The new civil court buildings at Patna are to be constructed on the site of the old record-room which was badly damaged in the earthquake but could not be demolished at once as there was no other building to which the records could be removed. During the year under report the construction of a new record-room at a cost of Rs. 61,000 was sanctioned and taken in hand. It is to be hoped that this will be completed as early as possible so that work may commence on the main building. The work of the civil courts at Patna has, since the earthquake, been carried on under very difficult

and unpleasant conditions. Sitamarhi, like Motihari, is to be abandoned and the munsif's courts which were located there are to be rebuilt at Dumra. The estimated cost is Rs. 40,350. Administrative approval was also accorded to the reconstruction of the munsif's courts at Madhubani and Bettiah, the former at a cost of Rs 43,200 and the latter at a cost of Rs. 13,000. Residences are being constructed for the presiding officers of the new courts at Lautaha and Dumra. The residences of the munsifs at Madhubani, Purnea and Chapra were destroyed or badly damaged in the earthquake and during the year under report steps were taken to replace or reconstruct them. New residences were also constructed for the District Judges of Saran and Muzaffarpur. Financial stringency again made it impossible for any but very minor building projects to be undertaken in areas other than those which were seriously affected by the earthquake. It is to be hoped that in the near future money will be more easily available as complaints continue to be received that certain buildings are unsuitable and should be replaced by new ones or are inadequate and should be enlarged to provide more accommodation. A new court is badly needed for the Judicial Commissioner of Chota Nagpur. The existing building is more than a century old. The accommodation provided for the Subordinate Judge and Munsif at Ranchi is also said to be inadequate. Difficulty is said to have been experienced in providing accommodation for the additional munsifs deputed to Bihar, Jahanabad and Hajipur. A similar complaint has been made by the District Judges of Cuttack and Gaya who say that the existing buildings do not provide enough spare court-rooms for the extra temporary courts it has been necessary to sanction from time to time in order to reduce the congestion in the files. Residences for judicial officers are still badly needed in certain stations. It is regrettable that it has not yet been possible to construct the residences at Chapra, Laheriasarai and Katihar to which administrative approval was accorded as far back as 1930 and 1931. The old type of Munsif's residence of which those at Patna are conspicuous examples needs reconstruction. They are quite unsuitable and afford no adequate protection in the hot weather. A Munsif is a hardly worked officer and in these buildings health and efficiency cannot properly be maintained.

24. *Libraries.*—The condition of the libraries of the civil courts is reported to be, on the whole, satisfactory. Additions have been made to the libraries of the subordinate courts so far as the funds available permitted, but it is feared that some of them are still very inadequate.

25. *Effects of recent legislation—Panchayat Courts.*—There were in 1935 altogether 116 panchayat courts operating in the province. The distribution of these courts was as follows :—

Patna—3 ; Gaya—3 ; Saran—8 ; Muzaffarpur—93 ; Darbhanga—6 ; Bhagalpur—1 ; and Purnea—2. As in the previous year, the District Judge of Purnea reports that the establishment of panchyat courts has to some extent affected the institution of small cause court suits in the Munsifs' courts at Purnea and Araria. The District Judges of Patna, Gaya, Saran, Muzaffarpur, Darbhanga and Bhagalpur, however, adhere to the opinion which they expressed in previous years that the panchayat courts have not afforded any appreciable relief to the munsifs in whose jurisdiction they are situated. The District Judges of Saran and Muzaffarpur again report that there has been some addition, though slight, to the miscellaneous work of the District Judge in consequence of the revisional powers vested in him under section 78 of the Village Administration Act, 1922. The District Judge of Saran observes that the panchayat courts are not popular, nor does their judicial work command respect.

Usurious Loans Act.—The Judicial Commissioner of Chota Nagpur and all the District Judges report that the provisions of the Usurious Loans Act, 1918, were applied by the subordinate courts in all cases where it was necessary to use them, and rates of interest were in general reasonably reduced where they were found excessive. In a large proportion of cases the courts acted *suo motu*. In general the action taken by them was upheld by the appellate courts.

Order XVI, rule 8, Civil Procedure Code.—The system of permitting service of summons on witnesses by parties or their agents, as provided by the addition made in 1929 to rule 8, Order XVI of the Code of Civil Procedure, still appears to be working satisfactorily everywhere. 42,859 such processes were made over to the parties during the year under report as against 34,842 in 1934. The innovation made it possible during the year under report to reduce the process-serving establishment in two judgeships. In Manbhum-Sambalpur the number of process-servers was reduced by eight and in Cuttack by two. If no reduction has been made in the other judgeships, the reason in most cases is that the number of peons is still less than the maximum permitted by the rules. As a result of the working of the new rule, there was a loss in process-fees amounting to Rs. 78,642 as against a total of Rs. 13,48,523 received during the year. The loss is 5·5 per cent against 5·7 per cent in the previous year.

26. *Important amendments in the High Court Rules.*—During the year under report rules giving certain facilities to press reporters were made. Under these rules duly accredited representatives of approved newspapers are allowed seats in court near the bench, and are permitted under certain conditions to inspect and obtain copies of judgments.

27. *Inspection.*—The courts of three Subordinate Judges and thirteen Munsifs were inspected by the District Judges during the year. All the Subordinate Judges except six and all the Munsifs except eight inspected their offices. At Patna and Gaya the inspection of the offices was done by the civil court registrars.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice J. A. Saunders inspected the District and Sessions Judge's court at Cuttack. The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Macpherson, KT., C.I.E., I.C.S., inspected certain courts at Arrah.

28. *Investment of Subdivisional Officers of Banka, Monghyr Sadr and Jamui with powers to try civil suits in which aborigines are parties.*—In 19·4 the Subdivisional Officers of Banka, Monghyr Sadr and Jamui were invested with powers to try civil suits in which one of the parties is a Santal or a Bhuiya. It is reported that the experiment has been working satisfactorily and has done much to remove the discontent which in 1934 was prevalent among Santals and Bhuiyas in this area. During the year under report the Subdivisional Officer of Banka disposed of 82 ordinary and 3 small cause court suits. Only two of these suits were decided on contest, the great majority being decreed *ex-parte* or on admission. The Subdivisional Officer of Jamui disposed of 25 suits, 17 of which were on contest. The Subdivisional Officer of Monghyr disposed of one ordinary and one small cause court suit, both *ex-parte*.

29. *Working of the system of Registrar of civil courts at the headquarters of the District Judges.*—The experimental appointments of Registrar for the civil courts at Patna and Gaya continued throughout the year and the two appointments were sanctioned till the 31st March, 1936, from the 10th October, 1935, and the 16th November, 1935, respectively. During the year under report, the office of Registrar was held by a Munsif both at Patna and Gaya. As reported in the previous year, this experiment has proved an unqualified success and Government were moved during the year under report to make the system permanent at Patna and Gaya from the next financial year. The Court would like to see it extended to other districts as soon as financial conditions render that possible.

30. *Nastaliq Urdu and Roman as optional scripts.*—The experiment of the use of Nastaliq Urdu and Roman as optional court scripts in the civil courts of the Patna Division continued during the year under report. As stated in last year's report, the matter will be considered again when the further reports of the District Judges concerned on the working of the experiment are received at the end of October, 1936.

31. *Prosecution of cases in which there is an order of the Court to prosecute.*—In accordance with the instructions issued by the Court in 1933, the District Judges have reported the results of criminal cases instituted on the complaint of a court. During the year, 195 such cases came before the courts for trial, out of which 130 cases were disposed of and 65 remained pending at the end of the year. Of the cases disposed of, 70 (53·8 per cent) ended in conviction and 46 (35·4 per cent) in acquittal while in 14 cases (10·8 per cent) the prosecution was withdrawn.

The reports received from the various judgeships indicate that the instructions issued by the Court are being generally followed. The proportion of acquittals is large but this is attributed to reasons other than inefficiency in the conduct of the prosecution.

32. *General.*—The volume and nature of the litigation which came before the courts during the year under report give little reason to hope that the economic depression from which the mass of the agricultural population has so long suffered has begun to lift. The number of suits instituted was the highest ever recorded in the history of the province. In the last five years there has been a progressive increase and the number instituted in 1935 was no fewer than 56,344 more than in 1931. In part the increase may be ascribed to the amendments made in the Bengal Tenancy Act but in the main would seem to be due to the continuance of the economic depression. It is significant that while in 1931 the number of cases in which immovable property was sold in execution of decrees was 25,416 the number in 1935 was 45,847. The total amount realised by execution in 1931 was Rs. 1,35,15,858. In 1935 it was Rs. 2,34,68,192. A very large proportion of the suits instituted were decided *ex-parte*, the percentage of suits decided on contest being only 9·7 as against 12·6 in the previous year and 16·5 in 1931. The number of appeals instituted was 301 more than in 1934 but was still much below the average for the last five years.

By order of the High Court,

J. G. SHEARER,

Registrar.

[illegible] *Urdu and Roman as official script.*—The [illegible] of the use of [illegible] Urdu and Roman as official [illegible] in the civil courts of the Lahore Division continued [illegible] under consideration. As stated in last year's report, [illegible] will be considered again when the further reports of [illegible] Judges concerned on the working of the experiment are [illegible] at the end of October 1938.

Conciliation of cases in which Hindus are either of [illegible] parties.—In accordance with the instructions [illegible] by the Court in 1938, the District Judges reported the results of original cases instituted on the [illegible] of [illegible] court. During the year, 100 such cases came [illegible] the courts for trial, out of which 140 cases were disposed [illegible] the [illegible] remained pending at the end of the year. Of the [illegible] of 70 (50·0 per cent) ended in conciliation and [illegible] whereas in 14 cases (10·0 per cent) the [illegible] was withdrawn.

[illegible] received from the various judiciaries indicate [illegible] the [illegible] that the Court are being generally [illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible] is large but this is [illegible] other than [illegible] in the conduct of [illegible].

General.—The volume and nature of the litigation [illegible] before the courts during the year under report [illegible] little [illegible] to hope that the economic depression from [illegible] masses of the agricultural population has so long [illegible] to lift. The number of suits instituted was [illegible] ever recorded in the history of the province. In [illegible] six years there has been a progressive increase and [illegible] instituted in 1938 was no fewer than 56,584 more [illegible] 1931. It is [illegible] that the increase may be ascribed to the [illegible] made in the [illegible] Tenancy Act but in the main [illegible] to the continuance of the economic [illegible]. It is significant that while in 1931 the number [illegible] in which immovable property was sold in execution [illegible] 47, the [illegible] was 98,430 the number in 1938 was [illegible] Rs. 1,86,41,130 [illegible] realised by execution in 1931 was Rs. [illegible] was Rs. 2,[illegible]. A very large proportion of the [illegible] instituted were decided ex parte, the percentage of [illegible] being only 27 as against 19·8 in the previous [illegible] 17·0 in 1931. The number of appeals instituted was [illegible] much below the average for [illegible] last five years.

By order of the High Court,

J. C. SHEARER,

Registrar.

IMPERIAL TABLES (CIVIL), BIHAR AND ORISSA, 1935.

 7 HC

CONTENTS.

	PAGES.
IMPERIAL TABLES 1 TO 8	28—41

Table No. I contains the number of Panchayat Courts; the other Tables do not include figures for such courts.

CIVIL JUSTICE.

TABLE No. 1 (GOVERNMENT OF INDIA TABLE NO. 25).

Number of Judicial Divisions, and number of officers exercising appellate or original jurisdiction in the province of Bihar and Orissa on 31st December, 1935.

Divisions.	Districts.	Judges of the Chief Court of Province (High Court Judges).	Civil.				Remarks.
			Number of districts.	District Judges.	Other Judges.*		
					Stipendiary.	Honorary.*	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Patna ...	Patna ...	11	1	2(*a*)	16(*b*)	3	(*a*) Do both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes Munsif Registrar.
	Gaya ...	...	1	1(*a*)	10(*b*)	3	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes Munsif Registrar.
	Shahabad ...	...	1	2(*a*)	11(*b*)	...	(*a*) Do both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
Tirhut ...	Saran ...	...	1	1(*a*)	9(*b*)	8	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
	Muzaffarpur ...	...	1	2(*a*)	12(*b*)	93	(*a*) Do both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 2 do ditto ditto.
	Darbhanga ...	...	1	2(*a*)	9	6	(*a*) Do both civil and criminal work.
Bhagalpur	Bhagalpur ...	...	1	1(*a*)	6(*b*)	1	(*a*) Does ditto ditto. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
	Monghyr ...	...	1	1(*a*)	11(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
	Purnea ...	...	1	1(*a*)	6(*b*)	2	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 2 (1 Sub-judge and 1 Munsif) do ditto ditto.
Orissa ...	Cuttack ...	...	1	1(*a*)	11(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
Chota Nagpur.	Chota Nagpur...	...	1	1(*a*)	16(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does ditto ditto. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes 9 executive officers, Munsifs and Subordinate Judges.
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur.	...	1	1(*a*)	18(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) Includes 10 executive officers, Munsifs and Subordinate Judges.
	Total ...	11	12	16	135	116	
	Santal Parganas	...	1	1(*a*)	7(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. Also District Magistrate. (*b*) Do both civil and criminal work. Six are Subdivisional Officers.

*Do not include the members of Panchayati courts. Column 7 gives only the number of such courts.

CIVIL JUSTICE.

TABLE No. 2 (GOVERNMENT OF INDIA TABLE NO. 26).

Number of cases decided in the civil courts in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year 1935.

Divisions.	Districts.	Original. Regular.	Original. Miscellaneous.	Appellate. Regular.	Appellate. Miscellaneous.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Patna ...	Patna	21,855	2,992	1,068	248
	Gaya	13,727	2,938	631	222
	Shahabad	23,914	2,363	600	155
Tirhut ...	Saran	29,600	2,087	565	138
	Muzaffarpur	37,878	3,687	587	181
	Darbhanga	30,756	4,048	250	223
Bhagalpur ...	Bhagalpur	25,082	3,853	174	172
	Monghyr	20,032	3,340	644	227
	Purnea	21,604	3,610	268	260
Orissa ..	Cuttack	14,756	2,094	367	202
Chota Nagpur	Chota Nagpur	5,014	1,375	261	94
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur	9,141	1,353	289	81
	Total ...	253,359	33,730	5,754	2,203
	Santal Parganas	161	34	8	21

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 3 (GOVERNMENT OF

Number and description of civil suits instituted in the Civil

Class of Tribunals.		Suits for money or movable property.	Suits under Rent Law.				
			Arrears of rent with or without ejectment.	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	For ejectment or recovery of possession alone.	All other suits under the Rent Law.	Total.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.							
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals.	Under Ordinary procedure.	15,166	176,122	102	18	3,660	179,902
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	33,316	...	...	...	...	...
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	Under Ordinary procedure.	301	99	...	...	...	99
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	3,261	...	...	...	...	...
Chief Courts of Districts ...		...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...		52,044	176,221	102	18	3,660	180,001
Santal Parganas		54	25	...	...	1	26

JUSTICE.

INDIA TABLE NO. 27).

Courts in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year 1935.

Title and other suits.					
Suits for immovable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Mortgage suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the preceding heads.	Total.	Grand Total.
8	9	10	11	12	13
6,733	387	5,801	2,157	14,978	210,046
...	...	...	...	...	33,316
350	6	416	405	1,177	1,577
...	...	...	...	...	3,261
...	...	...	44	44	44
7,063	293	6,217	2,606	16,199	248,244
14	1	14	4	33	113

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 4 (GOVERNMENT

Number and value of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil Courts

Class of Courts.		NUMBER OF		
		Value not exceeding Rs. 10.	Value Rs. 10 to Rs. 50.	Value Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.
1		2	3	4
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.				
Paid Subdivisional Tribunals.	Under Ordinary Procedure ...	44,992	87,262	31,624
	Under Small Cause Court powers	1,279	12,572	11,043
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	Under Ordinary Procedure ...	...	...	...
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	6	144	157
Chief Courts of Districts ...	... ...	...	1	1
	Total ...	46,277	99,979	42,825
Santal Parganas ...	... ...	...	...	...

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 28).

in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year **1935.**

SUITS INSTITUTED.

Value Rs. 100 to Rs. 500.	Value Rs. 500 to Rs. 1,000.	Value Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 5,000.	Value above Rs. 5,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total number of suits instituted.	Total value of suits.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
						Rs.
37,118	5,974	3,014	31	31	210,046	2,33,45,698
8,422	...	...	...	...	33,316	25,43,628
...	9	775	791	2	1,577	2,19,64,378
2,954	...	...	...	...	3,261	9,15,470
5	5	18	12	2	44	6,62,423
48,499	5,988	3,807	834	35	248,244	4,94,31,597
...	...	97	16	...	113	5,73,526

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 5 (GOVERNMENT

General results of trial of civil cases in courts of original juris 1935.—*Civil*

Class of Courts.		Number of suits before the Courts.					Number of suits				
							Without trial.			Ex-parte.	
		Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Under O. IX, R. 3 and O. IX, R. 8 of C. P. C. where the defendant does not admit the claim.	Otherwise.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.											
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals.	Under Ordinary Procedure.	123,896	210,046	750	20,221	354,913	13,110	20,482	97·0	145,818*	119·9
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	7,472	33,316	117	1,713	42,618	3,723	3,453	79·5	15,459	68·8
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	Under Ordinary Procedure.	1,704	1,577	36	560	3,877	81	181	17·9	218	225·1
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	1,118	3,261	14	558	4,951	211	359	63·3	1,442	72·0
Chief Courts of Districts ...		41	44	...	7	92	5	4	447·5	8	434·1
Total of each class for Civil Courts.	Suits for money or movables.	15,610	52,044	244	3,426	71,324	4,835	5,699	81·6	22,415	82·4
	Ditto under the Rent Law.	108,198	180,001	496	16,689	305,384	11,154	17,234	94·9	137,329	113·3
	Title and other suits.	10,423	16,199	177	2,944	29,743	1,140	1,545	135·2	3,201	403·6
Total ...		(a) 134,231	248,244	917	23,059	406,451	17,129	24,478	94·1	162,945	114·8
Santal Parganas		140	113	6	...	259	...	23	277·0	26	187·1

(a) Difference of 3 suits is due to wrong figures

* 2 transferred to

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 29).

diction in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year
Suits.

disposed of— On admission of claim. Number.	On admission of claim. Average duration.	Compromised. Number.	Compromised. Average duration.	After full trial. Judgment for Plaintiff.	After full trial. Judgment for Defendant.	After full trial. Average duration.	On reference to arbitration. Number.	On reference to arbitration. Average duration.	By transfer. Number.	By transfer. Average duration.	Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remarks.
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1,749	118·7	12,783	151·6	16,687	3,060	193·7	197	274·9	20,047	137·8	121,039	1,074	
1,403	65·6	6,754	71·5	2,488	563	112·7	40	152·7	1,747	75·6	6,931	1	
23	135·6	407	292·2	680	175	302·7	21	365·2	465	315·3	1,626	366	
214	84·3	911	94·3	528	90	132·6	13	127·7	498	51·0	685	5	
...	...	4	323·0	10	7	398·9	...	...	13	449·7	41	13	
2,327	78·4	11,414	95·0	5,831	1,245	165·1	109	221·2	3,375	117·6	14,085	218	
883	134·4	5,213	146·0	10,270	1,005	171·3	46	257·5	16,543	119·0	105,678	482	
180	109·7	4,232	184·6	4,292	1,645	238·6	116	290·1	2,852	247·7	10,559	759	
3,389	94·7	20,859	125·9	20,393	3,895	185·9	271	256·8	23,770	134·9	130,323	1,459	
13	135·2	48	284·5	31	18	638·3	2	313·5	2*	680·0	96	21	

submitted by the 2nd Munsif, Chapra.
other Courts.

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 6 (GOVERNMENT

General results of trial of civil cases in courts of original
1935—*Miscella*

Class of Courts.	Number of suits before the courts. Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Without trial. Under O. IX, R. 3 and O. IX, R. 8 of C.P.C. where the defendant does not admit the claim.	Otherwise.	Average duration.	Ex-parte. Number.	Average duration.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.										
Paid Subdivisional Tribunals.	8,199	29,080	126	744	38,149	7,711	3,752	78·9	4,762	71·8
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Distircts.	875	3,024	28	189	4,116	590	472	89·7	257	92·5
Chief Courts of Districts.	716	2,102	4	98	2,920	197	312	114·0	1,031	92·5
Total ...	(a) 9,790	34,206	158	1031	45,185	8,498	4,536	81·1	6,050	76·2
Santal Parganas	13	38	...	1	52	...	5	64·8	1	34·0

(*a*) Difference of 3 cases (9,790—9,787 = 3) is due to

(*b*) Difference of 35 cases (45,185—33,730—966 =

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 30).

jurisdiction in the province of Bihar and Orissa in the year
neous cases.

suits disposed of—

On admission of claim.		Compromised.		After full trial.			On reference to arbitration.		By transfer.		Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remarks.
Number.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.	Judgment for Plaintiff.	Judgment for Defendant.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.			
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
509	56·9	4,623	122·15	3,193	4,119	101·2	13	110·5	706	80·4	8,761	16	...
59	86·1	197	116·4	674	767	109·1	1	278·0	159	76·6	940	25	...
13	245·1	18	169·3	281	178	168·4	1	512·0	101	122·7	753	20	...
581	64·0	4,838	122·2	4,148	5,064	105·8	15	148·5	966	84·2	(b) 10,454	61	...
...	...	...	...	12	16	134·2	...	...	...	...	18	1	...

submission of wrong figures by the 2nd Munsif of Chapra.

10,489) is due to the fact that 35 cases were transferred to suits having become contentions.

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 7 (GOVERNMENT OF

Civil Appellate Courts.—Appeals from

Class of Courts.	Number of appeals before the Courts.				Number of appeals	
					Dismissed or not prosecuted.	
	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted and re-instituted during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Number.	Average duration.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.						
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.						
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	571	229	2,876	3,676	166	85·3
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts ...	3 632	5,282	618	9,532	552	127·0
Total of each class for Civil Courts. — Appeals from decisions in suits for money or movables.	681	851	502	2,034	121	165·4
Total of each class for Civil Courts. — In suits under the Rent Law.	1,576	2,169	1,217	4,962	256	94·1
Total of each class for Civil Courts. — In title and other suits	1,946	2,491	1,775	6,212	341	117·8
Total ...	4,203	5,511	3,494	13,208	718	117·4
Santal Parganas	7	9	...	16	1	145·0
COURTS AT THE SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.						
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.						
Chief Court of province. — From decisions by Courts in the interior.	624	218	3	845	96	789·8
Chief Court of province. — From decisions on the original side.	2	...	...	2	...	...
Total ...	626	218	3	847	96	789·8
APPEALS FROM APPELLATE DECREES						
Chief Court of province. — From decisions by Appellate Courts in the interior.	2,544	1,129	...	3,673	194	544·8
Chief Court of province. — From decisions of a single Judge or Bench in appeal in the Chief Court.	18	82	...	100	8	339·0
Total ...	2,562	1,211	...	3,773	202	596·7

(*a*) Difference of 4(13,208—5,754—3,411 = 4,043) is due to the fact that in Darbhang

JUSTICE.

INDIA TABLE NO. 31).

decrees, Bihar and Orissa, 1935.

disposed of—									
					By transfer.				
Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded for re-trial.	Average duration of appeals in columns 8, 9, 10 and 11.	Number.	Average duration.	Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remarks.
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1,714	407	467	83	68·4	310	78·5	529	26	
1,434	481	370	80	214·0	3,101	220·4	3,510	135	
482	113	176	23	153·5	409	194·8	619	31	
1,103	581	257	70	135·7	1,184	200·5	1,507	28	
1,563	194	404	70	131·8	1,728	216·0	1,913	162	
3,148	888	837	163	136·8	3,411	207·5	(*a*)4,039	161	
4	1	1	1	252·0	...	...	8	...	
66	30	26	...	1,317·0	...	...	627	425	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	
66	30	26	...	1,317·0	...	...	629	427	
1,100	70	218	50	690·9	...	...	2,041	1,358	
14	...	2	...	379·8	...	...	76	1	
1,114	70	220	50	687·5	...	...	2,117	1,359	

...rent appeals were transferred to Civil Revision.

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 8 (GOVERNMENT

Civil Appellate Courts—Miscellaneous

Class of Courts.	Number of appeals before the Courts.				Number of	
					Dismissed or not prosecuted.	
	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted and re-instituted during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Number.	Average duration.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.						
FIRST APPEALS.						
Chief Appellate Court other than Chief Courts of Districts.	26	55	267	348	25	65·5
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts	684	1,919	100	2,703	582	69·2
Total ...	710	1,974	367	3,051	607	69·1
Santal Parganas	9	23	...	32	...	...
COURTS AT THE SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.						
FIRST APPEALS.						
Chief Court of province. From decisions by Courts in the interior.	198	253	...	451	81	262·6
Chief Court of province. From decisions on the original side.	2	1	...	3	1	241·0
Chief Court of province. From decisions of Commissioners under section 30 of Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923.	2	2	...	4	...	...
Total ...	202	256	...	458	82	262·3
SECOND APPEALS.						
Chief Court of province. From decisions by Appellate Courts in the interior.	65	141	...	206	17	153·5
Chief Court of province. From decisions of a single Judge or Bench in appeal in the Chief Court.	...	4	...	4	...	...
Total ...	65	145	...	210	17	153·5

*Difference of 1(3,051—2,203—353=495) is due to the fact that in Patna

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 32).

Appeals, Bihar and Orissa, 1935.

appeals disposed of—									
Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded for re-trial.	Average duration of appeals in columns 8, 9, 10 and 11.	By transfer.		Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remarks.
					Number.	Average duration.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
183	14	67	17	37·7	23	89·0	19	3	
867	40	302	106	128·5	330	146·3	475	...	
1,050	54	369	123	112·6	353	142·6	*494	3	
17	...	1	3	134·9	...	...	11	...	
158	6	34	8	291·4	...	...	164	36	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	
4	...	...	...	112·7	...	...	...	...	
162	6	34	8	288·0	...	...	166	36	
98	2	16	8	133·0	...	...	65	10	
3	...	...	...	191·0	...	...	1	...	
101	2	16	8	134·3	...	...	66	10	

1 miscellaneous appeal was transferred to rent appeal).

PROVINCIAL TABLES (CIVIL), BIHAR AND ORISSA, 1935.

CONTENTS.

	PAGES.
PROVINCIAL TABLES A to H	46—81

TABLE

Statement classifying according to value the number of

Divisions.	Districts.	Number of			
		Value not exceeding Rs. 10.	Value exceeding Rs. 10 but not exceeding Rs. 50.	Value exceeding Rs. 50 but not exceeding Rs. 100.	Value exceeding Rs. 100 but not exceeding Rs. 500.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Patna ...	Patna	4,687	8,992	4,041	4,522
	Gaya	1,584	5,500	3,400	5,490
	Shahabad... ...	3,745	9,209	3,980	4,574
Tirhut ...	Saran	9,052	13,477	4,018	3,247
	Muzaffarpur ...	9,310	14,513	4,617	4,536
	Darbhanga ...	8,075	11,919	3,669	3,524
Bhagalpur ...	Bhagalpur... ...	2,378	9,968	4,299	4,629
	Monghyr	3,340	7,786	3,902	4,252
	Purnea	2,720	9,267	4,326	4,752
Orissa ...	Cuttack	556	5,474	3,620	4,172
Chota Nagpur ...	Chota Nagpur ...	541	1,196	1,005	1,519
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur ...	289	2,678	1,948	3,282
	Total ...	46,277	99,979	42,825	48,499
	Total for 1934 ...	40,771	87,392	39,873	46,690

A.

suits instituted in each district during the year 1935.

suits instituted.						
Value exceeding Rs. 500, but not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Value exceeding Rs. 1,000 but not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Value exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total number of suits instituted.	Total value of suits.	Remarks.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
					Rs.	
598	412	105	1	23,358	61,03,177	
844	492	86	1	17,397	48,58,270	
534	342	63	2	22,449	37,41,344	
427	251	69	24	30,565	45,05,555	
657	479	87	4	34,203	56,67,566	
442	254	92	...	27,975	38,68,569	
418	275	39	1	22,007	30,18,910	
366	293	68	...	20,007	42,16,151	
530	272	31	...	21,898	26,81,233	
453	266	51	...	14,592	41,66,084	
313	195	81	...	4,850	38,59,858	
406	276	62	2	8,943	27,44,880	
5,988	3,807	834	35	248,244	4,94,31,597	
5,976	3,649	816	165	225,332	5,74,79,413	

TABLE

Result of Proceedings in Execu

Divisions.	Districts.	Number of applications filed.	Number of applications	
			Decrees wholly satisfied.	Decrees partly satisfied.
1	2	3	4	5
Patna ...	Patna	12,598	9,437	788
	Gaya	9,397	6,250	932
	Shahabad	11,641	8,572	637
Tirhut ...	Saarn	14,843	9,600	707
	Muzaffarpur	20,311	13,957	944
	Darbhanga	16,840	12,702	674
Bhagalpur...	Bhagalpur	15,701	11,636	883
	Monghyr	12,468	6,778	571
	Purnea	14,492	8,776	1,864
Orissa ...	Cuttack	11,373	2,007	2,660
Chota Nagpur	Chota Nagpur	4,211	1,060	1,043
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur ...	7,558	1,609	1,904
	Total	1,51,433	92,384	13,607
	Total for 1934 ...	1,33,659	81,684	12,567

B.

tion of Decrees in **1935.**

disposed of.		Number of applications pending.	Pending over one year.	Amount realized.			Proportion of infructuous proceedings.
Wholly infructuous.	Total.						
6	7	8	9	10			11
				Rs.	a.	p.	
2,368	12,593	5,641	173	18,54,076	0	0	18·8
1,981	9,163	4,530	92	18,49,501	0	0	21·6
2,165	11,374	4,593	126	14,63,416	0	0	19
3,007	13,314	4,739	35	11,72,753	0	0	22·6
4,015	18,916	8,456	97	16,55,541	0	0	21·2
2,407	15,783	6,809	155	20,26,874	0	0	15·25
3,727	16,246	5,782	91	16,86,556	0	0	22·9
3,489	10,838	5,788	112	61,56,425	0	0	32·2
3,442	14,082	6,602	125	16,96,225	0	0	24·4
6,778	11,445	3,216	88	7,96,383	0	0	59·2
2,190	4,293	1,889	99	23,61,999	0	0	51·01
3,568	7,081	3,106	203	7,48,443	0	0	50·4
39,137	145,128	61,151	1,396	2,34,68,192	0	0	26·96
36,535	130,786	56,599	1,651	1,54,11,152	0	0	27·9

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.		Original suits decided.	Applications for execution of decrees decided.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases disposed of.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Preferred during the year.	Re-admitted.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Patna—									
District Judge ...	...	6	4	269	744	709	3	13	1,469
1st Additional District Judge	...	...	1	17	10	...	...	134	144
2nd Additional District Judge	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	11	11
Total ...	...	6	5	287	754	709	3	158	1,624
Gaya—		1	8	165	395	583	1	3	982
Shahabad—									
District Judge... ...	...	...	4	113	362	705	8	2	1,077
Additional District Judge	...	3	1	64	27	...	3	200	230
Total	...	3	5	177	389	705	11	202	1,307
Saran—									
District Judge... ...	...	5	5	170	482	474	6	13	975
Additional District Judge	...	...	...	4	...	...	1	70	71
Total ...	...	5	5	174	482	474	7	83	1,046
Muzaffarpur—									
District Judge	...	7	10	204	240	418	1	4	663
Additional District Judge	...	1	...	16	20	...	...	77	106
Total ...	...	8	10	220	260	418	1	81	769

C (New).

District Judges during the year **1935.**

APPEALS.														
Regular Appeals.							Miscellaneous appeals.							
	Disposed of.				Pending.									
			Total.											
Transferred to other courts.	On contest.	Without contest.	From the decisions of Subordinate Judges.	From the decisions of Munsifs.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Criminal appeals.	Applications for revision (criminal).	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
438	456	89	32	523	486	3	182	228	38	17	258	95	139	102
...	135	9	18	126	...	...	...	18	2	25	48	11	53	149
...	11	...	2	9	...	...	...	2	...	10	4	...	12	63
438	602	98	42	658	486	3	182	248	40	52	310	106	203	314
394	131	70	29	172	387	16	183	179	39	18	177	63	57	186
445	79	33	3	109	520	6	160	96	56	...	39	52	20	231
14	193	9	13	189	14	3	...	20	2	32	194	76	53	185
459	272	42	16	298	534	9	160	116	58	32	233	128	73	416
327	176	65	12	229	407	17	115	132	20	65	274	55	63¼	184¾
10	57	4	5	56	...	...	...	5	...	10	11	...	15½	55½
337	233	69	17	285	407	17	115	137	20	75	285	55	78¾	24[illegible]¼
259	122	86	36	172	196	...	135	103	14	11	292	78	123	115
...	86	10	4	92	10	...	...	22	...	38	75	7	39½	209½
259	208	96	40	264	206	...	135	125	14	49	367	85	162½	324½

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Original suits decided.	Applications for execution of decrees decided.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases disposed of.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Preferred during the year.	Re-admitted.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Darbhanga—								
District Judge	1	1	129	332	369	1	6	708
Additional District Judge ...	...	...	2	...	...	...	26	26
Total ...	1	1	131	332	3 9	1	32	734
Bhagalpur	...	2	128	97	215	4	...	326
Monghyr	1	4	215	345	514	3	3	865
Purnea	1(s.cc)	1	132	119	227	7	1	354
Cuttack	7	8	130	236	506	9	1	752
Chota Nagpur— Judicial Commissioner	1	...	52	61	260	1	7	329
	rent	...		15	76	...	1	92
Total ...	1	...	52	76	336	1	8	421
Manbhum-Sambalpur ...	4	...	230	138	204	...	10	352
GRAND TOTAL ...	38	49	2,031	3,632	5,270	48	582	9,532
GRAND TOTAL for 1934 ...	33	58	1,790	4,107	5,005	62	659	9,833

*Average duration of suits from the date of institution

*Average duration of appeals from the date of institution

*The methods of calculation being different these figures ...

C (New)—*concld.*

District Judges during the year 1935—concld.

	APPEALS.													
	REGULAR APPEALS.						MISCELLANEOUS APPEALS.							
	DISPOSED OF.				PENDING.									
			TOTAL.											
Transferred to other courts.	On contest.	Without contest.	From the decisions of Subordinate Judges.	From the decisions of Munsifs.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Criminal appeals.	Applications for revision (criminal).	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
84	134	45	9	170	441	84	198	197	65	24	181	88	81	157
...	11	...	...	11	15	15	...	15	8	2	3	1	7	30
84	145	45	9	181	456	99	198	212	73	26	184	89	88	187
113	39	24	5	58	150	10	179	166	46	70	220	83	44	154
450	133	132	14	251	150	5	185	188	31	33	218	132	83	163
125	108	34	3	139	87	...	220	223	55	49	173	84	55	189
249	77	51	7	121	375	3	171	157	53	29	339	193	61½	175½
60	141	21	15	147	107	...	104	94	30	37	304	53	104	131
...	57	7	...	64	28	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
60	198	28	15	211	135	...	104	94	30	37	304	53	104	131
133	72	10	39	43	137	6	55	52	16	42	369	54	70	167
3,101	2,218	699	236	2,681	3,510	*168	1,886	1,897	475	512	3,169	1,124	1,079½	2,647½
3,341	2,277	583	274	2,586	3,632	187	1,913	1,786	684	434	2,908	992	1,182½	2,499½

Average number of days.

under the ordinary procedure { contested ... 456·5
uncontested ... 430·1 }

— { contested ... 270·2
uncontested ... 142·8 }

old appeals and of average duration given in the Imperial tables nos, 29 and 31,

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Disposed of. Without trial.	Ex-parte.	On contest.	Total.	Pending. Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Patna.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	75	59	4	138	35	8	33	76	48	8
		S.C.C.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	62	51	9	122	18	4	39	61	47	5
		S.C.C.	100	241	1	342	109	115	64	288	54	...
	Subordinate Judge, 3rd Court.	O.	103	76	9	188	33	9	23	65	88	23
		S.C.C.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Subordinate Judge, 1st Additional Court.	O.	16	...	54	70	15	3	40	58	9	9
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Additional Court.	O.	...	...	10	10	1	1	4	6	3	3
	Total ...	...	356	427	87	870	211	140	203	554	349	48
Gaya.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	60	76	30	166	34	13	47	94	62	16
		S.C.C.	...	14	48	63	14	19	2	35	27	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	45	40	15	100	20	5	18	43	48	19
		S.C.C.	61	120	11	192	48	45	33	126	12	...
	Subordinate Judge, 3rd Court.	O.	67	66	6	139	16	8	34	58	63	15
		S.C.C.	141	153	3	297	59	84	50	193	...	...
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	14	...	12	26	2	...	7	9	...	...
	Total ...	...	388	469	125	982	193	174	191	558	212	50
Shahabad.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	37	47	11	95	24	2	14	40	48	12
		S.C.C.	42	160	13	215	77	76	36	189	26	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	50	51	1	102	21	5	8	34	62	23
		S.C.C.	27	30	2	59	19	16	8	43	3	...
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	...	...	10	10	1	1	2	4	...	...
	Total ...	...	156	288	37	481	142	100	68	310	133	34

D (New).

Subordinate Judges during the year 1935.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the Court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
119	105	...	94	86	5	91	2	...	...	...	...	25	111	123
55	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
132	71	...	104	90	3	93	...	...	...	...	...	...	239	...
91	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
117	113	...	114	97	11	108	1	1	...	...	...	...	245	...
4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
22	11	...	99	66	9	75	2	...	...	...	...	...	214	...
...	...	...	9	1	...	1	8	...	...	...	...	...	42	...
540	315	...	420	340	28	368	13	1	...	...	...	25	881	123
146	111	...	157	122	15	137	13	1	...	7	...	...	224	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
177	82	...	122	87	10	97	18	2	...	7	...	...	227	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
201	89	...	142	86	16	102	24	1	...	17	1	45	115	141
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
1	4	...	164	133	11	144	...	...	...	12	...		118	67
525	286	...	585	428	52	480	55	4	...	43	1	45	684	208
297	167	...	116	111	2	113	2	1	...	24	...	...	242	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
185	145	...	123	112	5	117	3	3	...	7	...	26	143	99
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
3	1	...	74	54	2	56	...	...	...	8	...		105	45
415	313	...	313	277	9	286	5	4	...	39	...	26	489	144

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original Suits.									
			For disposal.				Disposed of.				Pending.	
			Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Without trial.	*Ex-parte.*	On contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Saran.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	69	51	2	122	25	3	27	55	65	20
		S.C.C.	18	60	1	79	36	21	14	71	8	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	54	48	1	103	33	5	17	55	48	11
		S.C.C.	193	260	2	455	121	118	37	276	73	1
	Total ...	...	334	419	6	759	215	147	95	457	194	32
Muzaffarpur.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court, Muzaffarpur.	O.	103	56	1	160	29	15	25	69	56	8
		S.C.C.	56	136	6	198	52	60	39	151	43	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court, Muzaffarpur.	O.	68	48	6	122	23	3	35	61	57	18
		S.C.C.	36	68	5	109	38	35	19	92	17	1
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court, Muzaffarpur.	O.	...	...	52	52	8	3	28	39	11	9
	Subordinate Judge, Motihari.	O.	56	71	49	176	49	8	44	101	48	4
		S.C.C.	18	370	378	766	230	244	54	528	24	...
	Total ...	...	337	749	497	1,583	439	368	244	1,041	256	40
Darbhanga.	Subordinate Judge, Darbhanga.	O.	99	103	10	212	34	12	43	89	122	40
		S.C.C.	40	121	3	164	45	72	17	134	30	1
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	14	...	4	18	2	1	7	10	...	...
		S.C.C.	5	...	...	5	...	1	4	5	...	...
	Total ...	...	158	224	17	399	81	86	71	238	152	41

D (New)—*contd.*

Subordinate Judges during the year 1935—contd.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
68	69	...	153	120	19	139	...	...	...	...	...	...	244	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
236	99	...	130	115	9	124	2	2	...	1	...	43	115½	120¾
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
324	168	...	283	235	28	263	2	2	...	1	...	43	359½	120¾
248	134	...	92	68	4	70	18	...	...	17	...		156	86
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	39	...	...
138	82	...	95	64	12	76	18	1	...	12	...		176	79
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
10	30	...	47	33	2	35	12	...	...	3	...	...	71	...
252	140	...	127	89	13	102	25	...	26	24	4	62	128	119
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
648	386	...	361	252	31	283	73	1	26	56	4	101	531	284
269	176	...	16	12	1	13	...	...	...	1	...	...	241	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	89	104
37	22	...	51	45	2	47	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
306	198	...	67	57	3	60	...	...	...	11	...	16	330	104

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original Suits.									
			For disposal.				Disposed of.				Pending.	
			Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Without trial.	*Ex-parte.*	On contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Bhagalpur.	Subordinate Judge	O.	74	75	17	166	16	13	26	55	97	33
		S.C.C.	79	207	1	287	85	87	44	216	71	...
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	17	...	12	29	5	...	15	20	...	...
		S.C.C.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Magistrate Subordinate Judge.	O.	...	...	6	6	2	...	2	4	...	...
	Total ...	...	170	282	36	488	108	100	87	205	168	32
Monghyr.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	55	42	14	111	23	2	35	60	39	8
		S.C.C.	15	68	...	83	24	24	16	64	19	1
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	47	41	13	101	13	6	28	47	30	3
		S.C.C.	30	106	3	139	49	45	28	122	17	...
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	...	...	24	24	3	1	9	13	1	1
	Total ...	...	147	257	54	458	112	78	116	306	106	13
Purnea.	Subordinate Judge...	O.	85	116	3	204	40	23	29	92	108	16
		S.C.C.	26	100	2	128	49	37	21	107	21	...
	Additional Subordinate Judge.	O.	...	...	4	4	1	2	1	4	...	...
	Total ...	...	111	216	9	336	90	62	51	203	129	16
Cuttack.	Subordinate Judge	O.	73	91	26	190	23	11	22	56	93	21
		S.C.C.	58	167	2	227	78	53	43	174	50	...
	Additional Subordinate Judge.	O.	13	...	39	52	13	1	14	28	...	...
		S.C.C.	...	...	3	3	1	...	2	3	...	...
	Total .	...	144	258	70	472	115	65	81	261	142	21

D (New)—*contd.*

Subordinate Judges during the year 1935—contd.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
341	180	...	52	46	2	48	3	...	...	1	...	30	103	141
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
23	24	...	64	63	...	63	...	...	...	5	...	...	75	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	...
364	204	...	116	109	2	111	3	...	...	6	...	30	207	141
195	115	..	336	177	6	183	138	1	...	10	...	...	233	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
146	113	...	126	117	2	119	1	...	...	18	1	35	114	127
...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	4	...	116	66	11	77	...	...	...	11	...	...	146	...
341	232	...	578	360	19	379	139	1	...	39	1	35	493	127
215	121	...	80	73	5	78	2	...	...	14	1	38	181	62
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
...	6	...	49	34	14	48	...	...	...	23	...		35½	34½
215	127	...	129	107	19	126	2	...	...	37	1	38	216½	96½
395	143	...	165	90	11	101	58	13	...	45	...	14	194	47
...	18	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	174	...
5	10	...	165	129	9	138	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
400	173	...	330	219	20	239	58	13	...	45	...	14	368	47

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original suits. — For disposal. — Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Disposed of. — Without trial.	*Ex-parte.*	On contest.	Total.	Pending. — Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Chota Nagpur.	Special Subordinate Judge, Ranchi.	O.	44	58	34	136	38	10	41	89	47	8
	Special Subordinate Judge, Hazaribagh.	O.	...	...	15	15	5	2	7	14	...	...
	Special Subordinate Judge, Palamau.	O.	...	...	58	58	2	1	43	46	...	...
	Additional Subordinate Judge Hazaribagh.	O.	32	46	3	81	3	4	5	12	53	10
	Deputy Magistrate Subordinate Judge, Hazaribagh.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Magistrate Subordinate Judge, Palamau.	O.	62	41	13	116	7	1	5	13	45	13
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Ranchi.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Hazaribagh.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Palamau.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	...	138	145	123	406	55	18	101	174	145	31
Manbhum-Sambalpur.	Subordinate Judge, Purulia.	O.	73	81	13	167	40	9	33	82	72	8
		S.C.C.	26	310	88	424	239	100	51	390	34	...
	Subordinate Judge, Dhanbad.	O.	73	69	1	143	15	16	24	55	88	25
		S.C.C.	147	570	...	717	-323	190	49	561	156	2
	Subordinate Judge, Sambalpur.	O.	58	66	...	124	19	4	40	63	61	3
	Special Subordinate Judge, Singhbhum.	O.	..	...	5	5	1	2	1	4	1	1
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Singhbhum.	O.	...	2	...	2	...	...	1	1	1	...
	Deputy Collector, Subordinate Judge, Singhbhum.	O.	6	6	...	12	...	1	...	1	6	1
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Purulia.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Additional Deputy Commissioner, Subordinate Judge, Dhanbad.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	...	383	1,104	107	1,594	636	332	199	1,157	419	40
	Grand Total ...	...	2,822	4,838	1,168	8,828	2,387	1,660	1,507	5,554	2,311	*398
	Grand Total for 1934	...	2,838	5,595	1,321	9,754	2,424	1,743	1,680	5,847	2,822	475

*Average duration of suits from the date of institution

*Average duration of appeals from the date of institution

*The methods of calculation being different these figures do not agree with the figures of year old

D (New)—*concld.*

Subordinate Judges during the year 1935—concld.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
108	85	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	1		
...	40	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	224	6
...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
119	63	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	43	...
	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	122	...
79	35	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	91	...
...	...	..	38	22	...	22	9	...	...	...	...	...	33	...
...	...	...	17	10	1	11	6	...	...	...	...	...	58	...
...	5	...	5	2	...	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	14	...
306	232	...	61	34	1	35	19	...		...	...	1	585	6
556	127	...	39	32	4	36	3	1	...	2	...	...	242	...
616	153	...	1	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	221	...
98	54	...	138	48	5	53	85	...	20	20	5	...	211	...
...	1	...	4	1	...	1	3	...	...	...	...	...	19	...
...	46	...	67	36	5	41	26	11	...	5	6	...	53	...
16	2	...	69	...	3	3	8	...	8	2	1	...	12	...
...	...	...	66	36	3	39	20	1	...	...	...	...	36	...
...	...	...	49	28	6	34	14	..	...	...	...	...	29	...
1,266	383	...	433	181	26	207	160	14	28	29	12	...	823	...
5,670	3,017	...	3,670	2,599	238	3,837	539	*40	54	306	19	374	5,966¾	1,401½
5,370	2,824	3	3,612	2,579	248	2,827	571	183	42	228	26	311	5,956¼	1,098½

			Average number of days.
under the ordinary procedure	Contested	...	421·3
	Un-contested	...	289·2
under the small cause court procedure	Contested	...	147·1
	Un-contested	...	84·0
—	Contested	...	285·4
	Un-contested	...	258·9

suits, appealsand of average duration given in the Imperial Tables nos. 29 and 31.

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits.				
			For disposal.				
			Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Patna.	Patna, 1st Court	O.	2,052	3,116	37	5,205	2,022
		S. C. C.	...	...	...	...	...
	Do. 2nd "	O.	2.616	4,572	23	7,211	2,328
		S. C. C.	222	479	10	711	281
	Do. 3rd "	O.	2,018	3,215	636	5,869	2,191
		S. C. C.	109	633	4	746	254
	Do. 1st Additional Court ...	O.	77	...	329	406	35
	Do. 2nd " " ...	O.	75	...	146	221	14
	Barh Court	O.	3,807	4,975	11	8,793	3,442
		S. C. C.	83	195	1	279	104
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	1,232	1,232	389
	Bihar Court...	O.	3,546	5,550	21	9,117	2,170
		S. C. C.	57	187	...	244	69
	Do. 1st Additional Court .	O.	102	...	866	968	190
	Do. 2nd " " ...	O.	...	...	2	2	...
	Total ...	O.	14,293	21,428	3,303	39,024	12,781
		S. C. C.	471	1,494	15	1,980	708
Gaya.	Gaya, 1st Court	O.	408	875	1,434	2,717	532
	Do. 2nd "	O.	1,105	2,354	43	3,502	1,334
		S. C. C.	76	697	102	875	411
	Do. 3rd "	O.	1,815	4,507	22	6,344	1,562
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	2	...	230	232	23
		S. C. C.	...	...	1	1	...
	Aurangabad Court	O.	1.869	4,035	55	5,959	1,811
		S. C. C.	83	346	2	431	127
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	53	...	226	279	78
	Jahanabad Court	O.	1,914	3,916	17	5,847	1,811
		S. C. C.	71	194	...	265	78
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	196	196	10
	Total ...	O.	7,166	15,687	2,223	25,076	7,161
		S. C. C.	230	1,237	105	1,572	616

E (new).

Munsifs during the year 1935.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
878	29	254	73	356	244	2,824	188	3,256	1,760	30	1,853	375	12
"	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
1,091	27	212	56	295	109	3,462	143	3,714	3,319	27	2,143	330	2
207	61	...	...	61	549	...	...	549	162	...	351	30	...
693	21	169	36	226	72	2,927	111	3,110	2,683	38	1,719	321	12
211	51	...	...	51	516	...	...	516	230	...	34	17	...
46	22	190	79	291	47	225	100	372	12	6	212	59	...
52	18	38	52	108	28	65	81	174	5	2	14	8	...
654	41	255	41	337	158	4,149	126	4,433	2,579	10	2,561	518	2
91	26	...	...	26	221	...	...	221	48	...	47	4	...
45	10	126	12	148	20	544	18	582	580	4	1	1	...
832	49	335	33	417	264	3,040	115	3,419	4,845	140	2,387	512	23
83	21	...	...	21	173	...	...	173	71	...	44	7	...
184	35	348	18	401	52	693	30	775	184	182	682	208	...
...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	1	1	...	...	...
4,475	252	1,928	400	2,580	994	17,930	912	19,836	15,968	440	11,572	2,332	51
592	159	...	...	159	1,459	...	...	1,459	511	...	476	58	...
314	25	229	46	300	98	951	97	1,146	1,542	6	843	266	4
409	31	237	56	324	118	1,813	136	2,067	1,397	11	1,409	391	55
300	81	...	...	81	692	...	...	692	181	...	...	...	...
466	15	346	44	405	66	2,264	103	2,433	2,345	2	1,759	410	15
22	1	127	5	133	2	170	6	178	...	...	1	1	...
...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...
683	23	375	25	423	134	2,689	94	2,917	2,818	25	3,509	722	37
163	42	...	...	42	332	...	...	332	99	...	...	...	...
63	7	76	12	95	22	192	22	236	...	...	9	7	...
643	19	327	48	394	106	2,634	108	2,848	2,803	19	2,100	675	...
104	26	...	...	26	208	...	...	208	57	...	...	...	...
21	8	60	11	79	9	88	13	110	81	...	...	5	...
2,621	129	1,777	247	2,153	555	10,801	579	11,935	10,986	63	8,630	2,477	111
467	150	...	...	150	1,233	...	...	1,233	337	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shahabad.	Arrah, 1st Court	O.	1,570	2,703	95	4,368	2,100
		S. C. C.	132	428	50	610	262
	Arrah, 2nd Court	O.	1,403	3,416	72	4,891	2,308
	Arrah, 3rd Court	O.	1,770	3,328	107	5,205	1,945
		S. C. C.	193	596	2	791	380
	Arrah, Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	344	344	13
	Buxar, 1st Court	O.	893	1,739	12	2,644	989
	Buxar, 2nd Court	O.	572	1,250	8	1,830	713
		S. C. C.	112	465	...	577	178
	Sasaram, 1st Court	O.	2,262	4,088	68	6,418	2,663
	Sasaram, 2nd Court	O.	2,078	3,339	13	5,430	2,457
		S. C. C.	163	806	4	973	410
	Sasaram, Additional Court ...	O.	25	...	437	462	47
	Total ...	O.	10,573	19,863	1,156	31,592	13,234
		S. C. C.	600	2,295	56	2,951	1,230

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1935—contd.

Suits.												Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.					
	With contest.				Total decided.									
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
702	12	188	49	249	40	2,954	117	3,111	1,102	3	1,776	382	12
208	60	...	...	60	530	...	...	530	80	...	...	...	...
949	25	212	99	336	110	3,?68	205	3,583	1,232	3	1,707	252	3
1,022	16	167	79	262	69	3,005	155	3,229	1,774	23	1,511	251	24
213	57	...	...	57	650	...	...	650	92	...	...	...	...
23	17	55	57	129	20	67	77	164	18	7	1	...	...
371	59	185	87	331	139	1,409	113	1,661	946	6	947	104	9
349	37	112	66	215	100	1,042	135	1,277	545	7	1,035	223	14
235	66	...	...	66	479	...	...	479	98	...	...	...	...
985	54	195	75	324	232	3,562	178	3,972	2,085	3	1,017	298	19
924	24	173	76	273	136	3,324	194	3,654	1,691	5	1,992	379	27
367	86	...	...	86	863	...	...	863	110	1	...	...	...
100	28	164	59	251	41	263	91	398	19	10	78	21	...
5,485	272	1,451	647	2,370	887	18,897	1,295	21,079	9,412	67	10,954	1,873	108
1,023	269	...	...	269	2,522	...	...	2,522	380	1	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits.				
			For disposal.				
			Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Saran.	Chapra, 1st Court	O.	1,832	4,606	12	6,450	2,403
		S. C. C.	76	390	1	467	105
	Chapra, 2nd Court	O.	2,480	4,555	16	7,001	2,223
	Chapra, 3rd Court	O.	2,138	4,078	49	6,265	2,439
	Chapra, 4th Court	O.	2,146	3,631	101	5,878	2,033
		S. C. C.	110	883	114	1,107	395
	Chapra, Additional Court ...	O.	1,100	...	684	1,793	977
	Siwan Court...	O.	4,024	7,003	146	12,073	2,372
		S. C. C.	97	537	1	635	164
	Siwan, Additional Court ...	O.	736	...	1,684	2,420	1,440
	Gopalganj Court	O.	1,058	2,835	4	3,897	1,335
		S. C. C.	106	724	...	830	275
	Total ...	O.	15,473	27,608	2,606	45,777	15,232
		S. C. C.	389	2,534	116	3,039	939
Muzaffarpur.	Muzaffarpur, 1st Court ...	O.	2,918	4,166	98	7,182	2,891
		S. C. C.	248	810	126	1,184	637
	Muzaffarpur, 2nd Court ...	O.	4,220	6,748	81	11,049	3,712
		S. C. C.	194	255	31	480	120
	Muzaffarpur, 1st Additional Court	O.	1,509	...	1,002	2,511	1,253
	Muzaffarpur, 2nd Additional Court	S. C. C.	...	...	3	3	...
	Hajipur Court	O.	2,515	4,671	43	7,229	2,724
		S. C. C.	115	385	4	504	187
	Hajipur, Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	701	701	362
	Sitamarhi, 1st Court ...	O.	3,856	4,509	10	8,375	4,483
		S. C. C.	181	620	3	804	289
	Sitamarhi, 2nd Court ...	O.	3,206	4,390	107	7,709	4,098
	Motihari Court	O.	2,910	3,550	53	6,513	3,247
		S. C. C.	196	558	217	971	227
	Motihari, Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	155	155	11
	Bettiah Court	O.	1,027	2,387	12	3,426	1,566
		S. C. C.	58	401	...	459	190
	Total ...	O.	22,161	30,421	2,262	54,845	23,847
		S. C. C.	992	3,029	384	4,405	1,659

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1935—contd.

Suits. Disposed of. With contest. Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total decided. Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Pending. Total.	Over one year.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1,183	23	178	72	273	83	3,584	192	3,859	2,527	6	1,553	136	12
234	28	...	...	28	367	...	...	367	100	...	...	...	...
1,006	16	256	65	337	60	3,329	177	3,566	2,993	5	1,405	170	28
1,081	14	183	91	288	38	3,573	197	3,808	2,427	4	1,238	167	16
1,407	11	155	68	234	53	3,416	205	3,674	2,006	17	1,497	223	20
363	81	...	...	81	839	...	...	839	267	...	...	...	...
381	16	211	73	300	28	1,514	116	1,658	43	11	1,190	91	...
2,934	28	143	77	248	103	5,203	249	5,554	4,838	2	3,010	388	8
290	52	...	...	52	506	...	...	506	120	...	...	...	...
595	13	205	78	296	21	2,192	118	2,331	...	...	980	63	...
753	50	71	73	194	250	1,694	338	3,282	1,012	15	2,163	507	19
370	40	...	...	40	694	...	...	694	136	...	...	...	...
9,340	171	1,402	597	2,170	635	24,505	1,592	26,733	16,446	60	12,985	1,745	103
1,257	210	...	...	210	3,408	...	...	2,406	632	...	...	...	...
1,103	22	175	79	276	137	3,345	288	3,770	2,907	8	2,130	473	14
311	72	...	...	72	1,020	...	...	1,020	131	...	...	...	...
1,303	11	249	60	320	55	5,039	241	5,335	5,093	27	2,494	378	7
96	24	...	...	24	240	...	...	240	117	...	...	...	...
513	11	226	72	309	37	1,904	134	2,075	433	21	871	135	...
...	2	...	...	2	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...
643	25	40	92	166	146	3,252	335	3,733	2,794	14	2,518	402	5
182	36	...	...	36	405	...	...	405	99	...	...	...	...
111	8	170	39	217	15	623	52	690	...	...	2	7	...
1,260	40	190	45	275	315	5,502	201	6,018	2,288	14	3,107	537	...
320	56	...	...	56	665	...	...	665	130	...	...	...	...
772	49	195	69	313	203	4,800	181	5,183	2,519	15	2,759	464	1
741	38	229	62	329	131	3,953	233	4,317	1,998	3	2,614	401	51
169	32	...	...	32	428	...	...	428	165	...	...	...	...
39	18	31	49	98	31	36	81	148	...	...	6	9	...
632	79	81	41	201	614	1,642	143	2,399	1,024	2	1,757	275	24
173	29	...	...	29	401	...	...	401	58	...	...	...	...
7,317	301	1,595	608	2,504	1,683	30,096	1,889	33,668	19,055	104	18,258	3,081	103
1,251	251	...	...	251	3,161	...	...	3,161	700	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits.				
			For disposal.				
			Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Darbhanga.	Darbhanga, 1st Court ...	O.	2,567	3,221	85	5,873	2,524
	Darbhanga, 2nd Court ...	O.	3,619	3,976	38	7,633	3,129
		S. C. C.	308	694	1	1,003	511
	Darbhanga Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	197	197	14
	Madhubani, 1st Court ...	O.	3,464	4,9-7	514	8,965	5,143
	Madhubani, 2nd Court ...	O.	5,063	6,089	13	11,165	6,242
		S. C. C.	136	585	4	725	318
	Samastipur, 1st Court ...	O.	2,976	3,904	56	6,936	3,583
		S. C. C.	143	285	6	434	214
	Samastipur, 2nd Court ...	O.	2,523	3,934	78	6,535	2,181
		S. C. C.	...	75	...	75	24
	Samastipur, Additional Court ...	O.	32	...	207	239	4
	Total ...	O.	20, 44	26,111	1,188	47,543	22,829
		S. C. C.	587	1,639	11	2,237	1,067
Bhagalpur.	Bhagalpur, 1st Court ...	O.	2,506	2,959	31	5,496	3,118
		S. C. C.	164	411	2	577	205
	Bhagalpur, 2nd Court ...	O.	2,112	2,561	24	4,697	2,605
		S. C. C.	240	1,154	3	1,397	530
	Bhagalpur, Additional Court ...	O.	12	...	187	199	21
	Madhipura Court	O.	6,434	9,072	1,520	17,026	8,704
		S. C. C.	126	606	1	733	327
	Madhipura, Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	2,321	2,321	546
	Banka Court	O.	2,188	4,561	5	6,754	3,640
		S. C. C.	40	339	...	379	189
	Subdivisional Officer Munsif, Banka. ...	O.	45	58	...	103	47
		S. C. C.	...	3	1	4	...
	Total ...	O.	13,297	19,211	4,088	36,596	18,281
		S. C. C.	570	2,513	7	3,090	1,251

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1935—contd.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
867	23	102	59	184	70	3,351	144	3,565	2,271	17	2,249	568	...
1,122	20	70	51	150	127	4,072	212	4,411	2,993	13	2,530	669	6
258	73	...	...	73	842	...	...	842	160	...	...	...	...
35	10	40	21	71	13	75	32	120	73	25	...	7	...
789	44	40	68	152	141	5,783	159	6,083	2,878	...	2,743	834	8
485	40	42	73	155	120	6,626	136	6,882	3,782	10	4,338	932	...
152	63	...	...	63	563	...	...	563	162	...	...	...	...
575	34	107	54	195	253	3,958	142	4,353	2,464	5	1,839	331	...
124	34	...	...	34	372	...	...	372	62	...	...	...	...
718	30	182	40	252	333	2,686	132	3,151	3,276	46	1,755	366	6
13	...	...	...	...	37	...	...	37	38	...	...	...	...
32	19	39	44	102	26	50	62	138	83	13	13	12	...
4,613	229	623	410	1,361	1,083	26,604	1,016	28,703	17,820	129	15,476	3,719	20
677	170	...	...	170	1,814	...	...	1,814	423	...	...	...	...
404	40	119	30	189	131	3,509	71	3,711	1,711	2	3,411	664	2
208	67	...	...	67	480	...	...	480	93	...	...	...	...
515	29	165	35	229	160	3,087	102	3,349	1,216	15	2,582	790	14
487	80	...	...	80	1,097	...	...	1,097	300	...	...	...	...
38	15	67	21	103	33	94	35	162	28	...	9	30	...
989	19	101	46	166	194	9,472	193	9,859	4,847	2	6,784	842	54
385	35	...	...	35	647	...	...	647	86	...	...	...	...
104	25	130	11	166	56	732	28	816	...	...	586	261	...
391	44	114	41	199	180	3,950	91	4,230	2,524	...	2,494	916	9
133	29	...	...	29	351	...	...	351	28	...	...	...	...
33	1	1	...	2	6	75	1	82	21	...	14	18	6
3	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	3	1	...	...	...	...
3,474	173	697	184	1,054	769	20,919	521	22,209	10,347	19	15,880	3,521	85
1,116	211	...	...	211	2,578	...	...	2,578	511	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Monghyr.	Monghyr, 1st Court ...	O.	2,761	4,438	173	7,372	2,755
		S. C. C.	...	617	236	853	302
	Monghyr, 2nd Court ...	O.	1,574	3,546	36	5,156	1,730
		S. C. C.	445	488	41	974	304
	Monghyr, Additional Court ...	O.	10	...	1,072	1,082	215
	Begusarai, 1st Court... ...	O.	1,625	2,425	51	4,101	1,921
		S. C. C.	206	377	149	732	285
	Begusarai, 2nd Court ...	O.	2,267	2,841	39	5,147	2,538
		S. C. C.	...	273	22	295	80
	Jamui Court	O.	3,847	4,257	540	8,644	3,385
		S. C. C.	72	454	44	570	221
	Jamui, Additional Court ...	O.	58	...	1,187	1,245	97
	S. D. O. Munsif, Monghyr ...	O.	...	10	...	10	1
		S. C. C.	...	1	1	2	1
	S. D. O. Munsif, Jamui ...	O.	14	20	1	35	1
		S. C. C.	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	O.	12,156	17,537	3,099	32,792	13,693
		S. C. C.	733	2,210	493	3,426	1,193
Purnea.	Purnea Court	O.	1,472	4,548	35	6,055	3,953
		S. C. C.	124	575	2	701	300
	Araria Court	O.	790	5,582	6	6,378	4,201
		S. C. C.	57	337	...	394	203
	Katihar Court ...	O.	713	4,278	9	5,000	3,716
		S. C. C.	103	377	...	480	300
	Kishanganj, 1st Court ...	O.	433	2,626	21	3,080	1,841
		S. C. C.	87	345	4	436	226
	Kishanganj, 2nd Court ...	O.	379	3,014	38	3,431	2,197
	Total ...	O.	3,787	20,048	109	23,944	15,838
		S. C. C.	371	1,634	6	2,011	928

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1935—contd.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	13	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
471	32	168	43	243	92	3,251	126	3,469	2,927	5	1,705	430	19
303	41	...	...	41	645	...	...	645	168	...	...	...	...
476	32	12	58	102	247	1,901	160	2,308	2,610	8	2,676	688	33
239	62	...	...	62	605	...	...	605	135	...	...	...	...
86	17	39	27	83	24	309	51	384	676	3	1	18	...
611	65	193	72	330	344	2,365	153	2,862	1,208	29	1,887	535	12
190	66	...	...	66	541	...	...	541	170	...	...	...	...
670	27	89	59	175	164	3,144	125	3,433	1,673	12	1,341	415	6
57	10	...	...	10	147	...	...	147	...	...	...	...	...
591	37	337	58	432	129	4,176	103	4,408	3,006	86	2,773	523	...
158	63	...	...	63	442	...	...	442	91	...	...	...	...
82	20	236	19	275	35	397	22	454	288	286	1	283	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	9	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...
7	5	12	...	17	10	15	...	25	10	...	19	1	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
2,994	235	1,066	336	1,657	1,045	15,559	740	17,344	12,407	429	10,493	2,893	70
946	243	...	...	242	2,381	...	...	2,381	565	..	...	...	...
414	39	178	41	258	325	4,256	144	4,625	1,429	5	3,475	1,094	40
190	71	...	...	71	561	...	...	561	139	...	...	...	...
547	45	117	48	210	362	4,454	142	4,958	1,411	10	3,342	1,009	46
101	28	...	...	28	331	...	...	331	63	...	...	...	...
528	47	50	20	117	514	3,722	125	4,361	667	7	2,554	474	62
185	22	...	...	22	387	...	...	387	61	...	...	...	...
607	13	181	39	233	178	2,234	269	2,681	394	11	2,040	343	181
129	23	...	...	23	378	...	...	378	58	...	...	...	...
720	21	169	81	271	264	2,601	253	3,118	306	5	2,455	441	203
2,616	165	695	229	1,089	1,543	17,267	933	19,743	4,207	38	13,866	3,361	553
585	144	...	...	144	1,65	...	...	1,657	321	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	Ex-parte.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Cuttack.	Cuttack, 1st Court ...	O.	365	562	36	963	214
		S. C. C.	53	36	353	442	194
	Cuttack, 2nd Court ...	O.	194	657	28	879	168
		S. C. C.	56	807	245	1,108	293
	Cuttack, 1st Additional Court...	O.	...	...	1	1	...
		S. C. C.	523	2,167	7	2,697	631
	Cuttack, 2nd Additional Court...	O.	9	...	156	165	10
		S. C. C.	...	...	...	...	...
	Kendrapara Court	O.	282	976	9	1,267	280
		S C. C.	157	1,427	2	1,586	588
	Jajpur Court	O.	347	904	7	1,258	174
		S. C. C.	174	1,017	...	1,191	380
	Jajpur Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	66	66	1
		S. C. C.	...	...	...	...	...
	Bhadrak Court	O.	304	756	9	1,069	177
		S. C. C.	128	621	6	755	196
	Balasore Court	O.	202	603	6	811	171
		S. C. C.	134	997	6	1,137	306
	Puri, 1st Court	O.	183	568	43	794	154
		S. C. C.	...	...	...	...	...
	Puri, 2nd Court ...	O.	271	507	10	788	199
		S. C. C.	350	1,723	5	2,078	671
	Total ...	O.	2,157	5,533	371	8,061	1,548
		S. C. C.	1,575	8,795	624	10,904	3,259

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1935—contd.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
Without trial.	With contest.				Total decided.								
	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
287	30	...	95	125	193	...	433	626	194	2	1,075	266	...
176	68	...	...	68	438	...	...	438	3	...	...	16	...
259	45	...	109	154	211	...	370	581	272	2	1,348	240	...
472	209	...	...	209	974	...	...	974	134	...	...	66	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1,025	54	...
836	15	...	...	15	1,582	...	...	1,582	537	...	...	47	...
42	17	...	78	95	27	...	120	147	...	...	16	6	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
475	66	...	80	146	584	...	317	901	366	2	1,758	191	...
754	103	...	...	103	1,445	...	..	1,445	141	...	...	22	..
536	44	...	102	146	353	...	503	856	336	4	1,636	148	...
617	60	...	...	60	1,057	...	...	1,057	134	...	...	23	...
21	8	...	35	43	8	...	57	65	...	...	2	1	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
467	83	...	88	121	306	...	459	765	304	5	967	154	...
431	35	...	...	35	662	...	...	662	93	...	...	12	...
307	42	...	86	128	171	...	435	606	205	2	868	138	...
507	58	...	...	58	961	...	...	961	176	...	...	30	...
208	31	...	101	132	200	...	354	554	236	1	525	142	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
226	56	...	69	125	252	...	208	550	204	...	1,817	209	...
691	156	...	...	156	1,718	...	...	1,718	360	...	...	36	...
2,688	372	...	843	1,215	2,305	...	3,346	5,651	2,118	18	11,037	1,549	...
4,874	704	...	...	704	8,837	...	...	8,837	1,578	...	...	242	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. — For disposal. — Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	Ex-parte.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Chota Nagpur.	Ranchi Court	O.	454	673	18	1,145	265
		S. C. C.	122	850	1	973	504
	Ranchi Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	100	100	7
	Hazaribagh Court	O.	194	488	7	689	136
		S. C. C.	41	365	2	408	160
	Giridih Court	O.	115	441	1	557	143
		S. C. C.	61	332	...	393	131
	Palamau Court	O.	202	549	8	759	134
		S. C. C.	71	390	5	466	118
	Khunti Court	O.	21	84	3	108	14
		S. C. C.	8	36	...	44	6
	Gumla Court	O.	54	181	10	245	89
	Simdega Court	O.	12	55	1	68	9
	Chatra Court	O.	59	153	4	216	52
		S. C. C.	13	108	1	122	42
	Total ...	O.	1,111	2,624	152	3,887	850
		S. C. C.	316	2,081	9	2,406	961

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1935—contd.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
Without trial.	With contest.				Total decided.				Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.					
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
248	99	...	97	196	456	...	254	710	303	3	1,241	286	...
360	55	...	...	55	919	...	...	919	54	...	...	...	...
18	21	...	43	64	29	...	60	89	...	...	...	1	...
190	69	...	65	134	331	...	129	460	227	2	875	253	...
153	29	...	...	29	312	...	...	342	67	...	...	...	...
169	77	...	55	132	351	...	93	414	137	...	636	163	...
162	40	...	...	40	333	...	...	333	36	...	...	...	...
224	65	...	38	103	351	...	110	461	302	3	739	231	...
227	48	...	...	48	393	...	...	393	69	...	...	...	...
39	12	...	22	34	55	...	32	87	21	...	81	22	...
22	11	...	...	11	39	...	...	39	5	...	...	...	...
79	27	...	37	64	151	...	81	232	12	...	174	56	...
25	14	...	4	18	45	...	7	52	16	...	39	6	...
67	43	...	7	40	149	...	19	168	45	2	212	73	...
55	13	...	...	13	110	...	...	110	12	...	...	...	...
1,050	438	...	368	794	1,918	...	785	2,703	1,066	10	3,987	1,091	...
979	196	...	...	196	2,136	...	...	2,136	243	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. — For disposal. — Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	Ex-parte.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Manbhum-Sambalpur.	Purulia Court ...	O.	372	514	51	937	96
		S. C. C.	184	763	1	948	275
	Purulia Additional Court ...	O.	6	...	243	249	19
	Dhanbad Court	O.	213	424	3	640	103
		S. C. C.	91	629	1	721	196
	Raghunathpur Court	O.	180	548	1	729	214
		S. C. C.	67	406	...	473	170
	Chaibassa Court	O.	42	81	...	123	11
		S. C. C.	24	110	1	135	30
	Jamshedpur Court	O.	228	702	4	934	269
		S. C. C.	282	1,947	1	2,230	977
	Sambalpur Court	O.	199	577	3	779	169
	Bargarh Court	O.	169	689	...	858	150
	Sambalpur Additional Court ...	O.	...	3	...	3	...
	Sambalpur do. ...	O.	32	259	16	307	70
	Bargarh Additional Court ...	O.	5	1	...	6	1
	Bargarh do. ...	O.	31	177	3	211	42
	Deputy Collector munsif, Jamshedpur.	O.	1	...	...	1	...
	Total ...	O.	1,478	3,975	324	5,777	1,144
		S. C. C.	648	3,855	4	4,507	1,648
	Grand total ...	O.	† 123,896	210,046	20,971	354,913	145,818
		S. C. C.	† 7,472	33,316	1,830	42,618	15,459
	Grand total for 1934 ...	O.	102,978	186,275	17,655	306,908	105,092
		S. C. C.	6,909	33,421	3,008	43,338	14,819

† Difference of 3 suits (1 money and 2 title) is due to

* Average duration of suits from the date of

* The methods of calculation being different these figures do not agree with the

E(new)—*concld.*

Munsifs during the year **1935—concld.**

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
244	36	...	98	134	168	...	306	474	221	3	911	170	...
343	110	...	...	110	728	...	...	728	132	...	...	...	...
69	28	...	90	118	55	...	151	206	...	...	13	7	...
190	44	...	80	124	196	...	221	417	223	4	672	73	...
344	83	...	...	83	623	...	...	623	98	...	...	...	...
364	48	...	50	98	481	...	195	676	53	1	804	132	...
225	37	...	...	37	432	...	...	432	41	...	...	...	...
24	8	...	9	17	34	...	18	52	71	10	127	16	...
33	6	...	...	6	69	...	...	69	66	...	...	...	...
263	54	...	61	115	348	...	299	647	287	1	2,065	139	...
719	149	...	...	149	1,845	...	...	1,845	385	1	...	...	...
252	165	...	74	239	545	...	115	660	119	...	497	99	...
319	158	...	71	229	555	...	143	698	158	...	529	79	...
2	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	1	...	1	...	...
196	...	2	...	2	...	268	...	268	39	...	99	16	...
4	...	1	...	1	...	6	...	6	...	...	2	...	...
114	...	20	...	20	...	176	...	176	35	1	75	9	...
1	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...
2,042	541	23	533	1,097	2,383	452	1,449	4,283	1,207	20	5,795	740	...
1,664	385	...	...	385	3,697	...	...	3,697	722	1	...	...	...
48,124	3,266	11,276	5,402	19,944	15,799	183,030	15,057	213,886	121,039	1,397*	138,933	28,382	1,208
15,331	3,091	...	...	3,091	33,881	...	...	33,881	6,931	2*	476	300	...
40,078	3,650	11,872	5,315	20,837	16,163	135,766	14,078	166,007	123,887	1,221	125,006	24,761	1,226
14,851	3,270	...	...	3,270	32,940	...	...	32,940	7,478	3	350	270	...

wrongs figures submitted by the 2nd Munsif of Chapra.

				Average number of days.
Institution ...	Under the ordinary procedure.	Contested	...	235
		Uncontested	...	119·7
	Under the small cause court procedure.	Contested	...	118·2
		Uncontested	...	70·3

figures of year-old suits and of average duration given in the Imperial Table no. 29.

TABLE

Proceedings in Insolvency under the Provincial Insolvency Act, 1920,

Part I—Showing the number and results of insolvency petitions presented

Class of Courts.	Insolvency Petitions.												
	Number dealt with.						Number disposed of.						
	Pending at close of previous year.	Presented during year.			Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred.	Withdrawn under section 14.	By composition or scheme of arrangement under section 38.	By orders of adjudication under section 27.		Dismissed under section 25.	Total.
		By debtors.		By creditors.						Receivers being appointed.	Receivers not being appointed.		
		Under arrest or imprisonment.	Not under arrest or imprisonment.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Courts subordinate to District Courts and specially empowered under section 3.	46	15	91	...	1	153	...	5	1	18	44	32	100
District Courts...	346	69	554	67	14	1,050	14	7	...	176	198	225	620
Total ...	392	84	645	67	15	1,203	14	12	1	194	242	257	720

(a) Adjudication was annulled or absolute discharge prayed for refused in 193 cases and 1 case

Part II—Showing the number of estates in the hands of Receiver

Class of Courts.	Number of Insolvent's Estates in the hands of Receivers.			Amount of Creditors' claims	
	Pending at the close of the previous year.	Placed in charge of a Receiver during the year.	Pending at the close of the year.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of previous year.	Proved.
1	2	3	4	5	6
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Courts subordinate to District Courts and specially empowered under section 3.	63	18	61	48,415 3 6	10,799 8 0
District Courts	362	176	349	32,15,146 4 8	5,28,928 4 7
Total	425	194	410	32,63,561 8 1	5,39,727 12 7

* Difference of Rs. 15,676 is due to the fact that in Monghyr Rs. 15,676, have been eliminated from the annulled.

†Difference of Rs. 748 is due to the fact that in Saran Rs. 28, in Bhagalpur Rs. 122 and in Monghyr or whose adjudication

F (new).

(V of 1920), in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year 1935.
under section 7 of the Act, and the number of insolvents before the courts.

Debtors sentenced under section 69.	Undischarged insolvents sent for trial under section 73 (2).	Debtors adjudged to be insolvent. Number before the court. Remaining undischarged at close of previous year.	Undischarged received by transfer.	Adjudged to be insolvent during year.	Total.	Disposed of. Transferred.	Died.	Discharged. Absolutely under section 41 (2) (a).	Conditionally under section 41 (2) (c).	Total.	Remaining undischarged at close of year [including insolvents who have been refused discharge or whose discharge remains suspended under section 41 (2) (b)].
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
1	2	108	...	62	170	...	2	15	3	20	123
...	1	1,245	...	394	1,639	...	6	83	77	166	1,306
1	3	1,353	...	456	1,809	...	8	98	80	186	1,429 (a)

was compromised.

and the progress made in winding them up.

dealt with during the year. Satisfied.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the year.	Amount of Insolvent's assets realized and outstanding. Amount of realized assets in the hands of Receivers at the close of the previous year.	Gross amount realized during the year.	Total amount of disbursements.	Amount remaining in the hands of Receivers at the close of the year.	Remarks.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
4,022 0 0	55,192 11 5	2,242 6 8	2,895 8 0	1,014 9 0	4,123 5 8	
97,382 14 5	36,31,015 10 10	1,90,784 11 11	1,00,954 7 9	59,032 8 1	2,31,958 11 7	
1,01,404 14 5	36,86,208 6 3*	1,93,027 2 7	1,03,849 15 9	60,047 1 1	2,36,082 1 3†	

total of columns 5 and 6 on account of cases of persons who were discharged or whose adjudications were

Rs. 598 have not been included in column 12 as they relate to cases of persons who were discharged were annulled.

TABLE G (new).

Civil business disposed of in the High Court during the year 1935.

Nature of business.	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived and received by transfer during the year.	DECIDED.		Pending at the end of the year.	AVERAGE DURATION.	
				Without contest.	With contest.		Contested.	Uncontested.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
I.								
Original cases	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...
II.								
Appeals from a decision of a single Judge of the High Court in exercise of original jurisdiction including insolvency.	4	1	...	1	...	4	...	241
Appeals from a decision of a single Judge of the High Court in exercise of appellate jurisdiction.	18	86	...	9	18	77	366·9	306·3
Appeals from a decision of a Bench in exercise of appellate jurisdiction where there has been a difference of opinion.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Appeals from original decrees of subordinate courts.	624	216	5	96	122	627	1,317	789·8
Appeals from original orders of subordinate courts.	200	253	2	166	125	164	442·9	156·2
Appeals from appellate decrees of subordinate courts.	2,544	1,128	1	650	982	2,041	964·6	233·7
Appeals from appellate orders of subordinate courts.	65	141	...	75	66	65	230	66·9
III.								
Civil Review	9	41	...	28	10	12	182·8	68·4
Reference under section 27—								
Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Other civil references	5	4	...	1	5	3	133	176
Revisions under section 25—								
Provincial Small Cause Courts Act	75	236	...	140	83	88	160·8	52
Other civil revisions	165	581	1	367	233	147	186·1	47·9
Miscellaneous Judicial cases ...	51	98	...	61	42	46	337·7	168·2
Applications for leave to appeal to His Majesty in Council.	25	37	...	6	22	34	407·1	271·5
Total ...	3,786	2,822	9	1,600	1,708	3,309	...	...

TABLE H.

Results of appeals in the High Court during the year 1935.

Nature of appeals.		Affirmed.	Reversed.	Varied.	Remanded.	OTHERWISE DISPOSED OF. Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn.	Compromised.	Appeals summarily rejected under Order XLI, Rule 11, C. P. C.	Total.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Appeals from Original Decrees of—	District Judges ...	4	1	7	...	2	1	1	...	16
	Subordinate Judges ...	62	25	23	...	48	14	30	...	202
	Munsifs	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Collector with powers of Collector.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Extra Assistant Commissioner.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Appeals from Appellate Decrees of—	District Judges ...	261	110	33	28	10	28	29	220	719
	Subordinate Judges ...	385	108	37	22	37	23	47	254	913
Appeals from Orders of—	District Judges ...	56	24	3	11	13	6	4	73	190
	Subordinate Judges ...	67	26	5	5	33	18	18	66	238
	Munsifs ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Commissioner, Workmen's Compensation Act.	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4
	From decision of a single Judge in exercise of original jurisdiction.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...		837	294	108	66	143	90	129	615	2,282

BGP (HC) 7—230—17-10-1936—JS

No 10